Historic, Archive Document

Do not assume content reflects current scientific knowledge, policies, or practices.





5

United States Department of Agriculture

Marketing and Regulatory Programs

Agricultural Marketing Service

Dairy Programs

FMOS-430

Federal Milk Order Market Statistics for January and February 1999

Featured Articles:

How Federal Milk Order Market Statistics are Developed and What They Mean

Fluid Milk Sales by Size and Type of Container and by Method of Distribution



CONTENTS

			Page No.
Summa	ry		4
Table	1:	Federal order fluid (Class I) differentials, March 1999 and minimum Federal order Class I prices, March and April, 1999 and 1998	9
		inplify 1999 and 1990	
Table	2:	Federal milk order class and blend prices and butterfat differentials, January, with comparisons	10
Table	3:	Federal milk order class and blend prices and butterfat differentials, February, with comparisons	12
Table	4:	Average Federal milk order Class I and blend prices, by marketing area, January-February, with comparisons	14
Table	5:	Number of producers delivering milk to handlers regulated under Federal orders, total producer deliveries, butterfat content of producer deliveries, and average daily delivery per producer, by marketing area, January	16
Table	6:	Number of producers delivering milk to handlers regulated under Federal orders, total producer deliveries, butterfat content of producer deliveries, and average daily delivery per producer, by marketing area, February	18
Table	7:	Producer deliveries of milk used in Class I and gross Class I use by handlers regulated under Federal orders, by marketing area, January, with comparisons	20
Table	8:	Producer deliveries of milk used in Class I and gross Class I use by handlers regulated under Federal orders, by marketing area, February, with comparisons	22
Table	9:	Producer deliveries of milk used in Class II by handlers regulated under Federal orders, by marketing area, January and year to date	. 24
Table	10:	Producer deliveries of milk used in Class II by handlers regulated under Federal orders, by marketing area, February and year to date	26
Table	11:	Total producer deliveries of milk and producer deliveries used in Class I by handlers regulated under Federal orders, by marketing area, January-February, with comparisons	28

Table	12:	Whole milk and fat-reduced milk products sold in marketing areas defined by Federal milk orders, for markets where such information is available, December 1998, with comparisons	30
Table	13:	Whole milk and fat-reduced milk products sold in marketing areas defined by Federal milk orders, for markets where such information is available, 1998 and 1997 annual totals	32
Table	14:	Whole milk and fat-reduced milk products sold in marketing areas defined by Federal milk orders, for markets where such information is available, January 1998 with comparisons	34
Table	15:	Packaged sales of individual whole milk products, and fat-reduced milk products in selected marketing areas defined by Federal milk orders, January 1998 to date, with comparisons	36
Table	16:	Packaged sales of individual whole milk products, and fat-reduced milk products in selected marketing areas defined by Federal milk orders, January 1999 to date, with comparisons	38
Table	17:	Packaged sales of whole milk products, fat-reduced milk products, milk and cream mixtures, cream products, and total fluid products by handlers regulated under Federal milk orders, grouped by region, December 1998, with comparisons	39
Table	18:	Packaged sales of whole milk products, fat-reduced milk products, milk and cream mixtures, cream products, and total fluid products by handlers regulated under Federal milk orders, grouped by region, January 1999, with comparisons	40
Table	19:	Packaged sales of milk and cream mixtures, cream products, yogurt, and eggnog by handlers regulated under Federal milk orders, January 1998 to date, with comparisons	41
Table	20:	Milk, skim milk, and cream utilized in the manufacture of dairy products by handlers regulated under Federal milk orders, grouped by region, December 1998, with comparisons	43
Table	21:	Milk, skim milk, and cream utilized in the manufacture of dairy products by handlers regulated under Federal milk orders, grouped by region, January 1999, with comparisons	44
Table	22:	Percentage of whole milk equivalent (milkfat basis) used in the production of manufactured dairy products, in Federal order markets, January 1999 to date, with comparisons	45

	Page No.
Table 23: Federal milk order component prices and tests in various marketing areas, January and February	50
Table 24: Factors used in the computation of Class III-A prices in Federal milk order markets, January 1999 to date	51
Table 25: Producer deliveries used in Class III-A by handlers regulate under Federal orders, by selected Federal milk order marketi areas and region, January 1999 to date	
Table 26: Factors used in the determination of the Basic Formula Price January 1999 to date	
Table 27: Basic Formula Price and related information, January 1998 to date	54
Special article: How Federal Milk Order Market Statistics are Developed and What They Mean	55
Special article: Fluid Milk Sales by Size and Type of Container And by Method of Distribution	61
Milk marketing area map	72
Summary of Federal milk order actions, January 1999	73
Summary of Federal milk order actions, February 1999	73

Dairy Programs, Washington, DC, May 1999

The U.S. Department of Agriculture (USDA) prohibits discrimination in all its programs and activities on the basis of race, color, national origin, gender, religion, age, disability, political beliefs, sexual orientation, or marital or familial status. (Not all prohibited bases apply to all programs). Persons with disabilities who require alternative means for communication of program information (Braille, large print, audiotape, etc.) Should contact the USDA's TARGET Center at (202) 720-2600 (voice and TDD).

To file a complaint of discrimination, write USDA, Director, Office of Civil Rights, Room 326-W, Whitten Building 14th and Independence Avenue SW. Washington, DC 20250-9410 or call (202) 720-5964 (voice and TDD). USDA is an equal opportunity provider and employer.

SUMMARY OF MARKET STATISTICS

For the "price and pool statistics", the term "comparable markets" no longer will be employed. (For a description of "comparable markets", see the special article in this report on Federal milk order terms.) The increased incidences of handlers electing not to associate normally pooled milk with an order simply because of disadvantageous price relationships has significantly affected the comparability of the statistics for many markets. Beginning with the data for January 1999, regional and all-market totals will include all marketing areas for which the data can be released. At this time, the data for the Greater Kansas City and Eastern South Dakota marketing areas will be excluded from market totals because some of the class utilization data is confidential--pertains to the operations of fewer than three firms.

For the January/February 1999 period, 72,749 dairy farmers delivered 18.5 billion pounds of milk to handlers regulated under Federal milk orders. This pooled milk volume was 2.7 percent higher than the same period in 1998. Handlers utilized 40 percent of this milk in Class I, 9 percent in Class II, 43 percent in Class III, and 8 percent in Class III-A. The estimated volume of milk not pooled during this 2-month period due to disadvantageous price relationships was 520 million pounds; 13 marketing areas reported this occurring.

If all the estimated not-pooled milk had been pooled, producer deliveries would have been 1.8 percent above 1998.

The uniform price to producers (blend price) averaged \$16.29 per cwt. during this period, 13.4% higher than the previous year. Class price levels and changes from the previous year were: I, \$19.65, +25.2%; II, \$17.38, +29.3%; III, \$13.34, +2.0%; and III-A, \$12.97, +4.1%. Blend and Class III price levels in February 1999 were significantly lower than the previous month.

This issue contains two special articles. The first, "How Federal Milk Order Market Statistics Are Developed and What They Mean," provides a good primer on the statistical data collected under the Federal milk order program and some of the basic terms used. The second, "Packaged Fluid Milk Sales in Federal Order Markets: By Size and Type of Container and Distribution Method During November 1997," presents the results of a biennial survey of handlers regulated under Federal milk orders. This survey has been conducted regularly since 1963 and is the only comprehensive collection of this type of information.

This publication no longer will contain non-Federal-milk order statistics normally included.

SUMMARY OF PRODUCER DELIVERIES, PRODUCER DELIVERIES USED IN CLASS I, AND PRICES

zht	Blend		12.78 14.64 13.10 14.92	ght	Blend	1998		14.26			14.36
Prices per hundredweight		-Dollars-		ndredweig	B	1999	llars	17.47			16.29
hu	Class I		14.19 16.19 14.36 16.14	Prices per hundredweight	Class I	1998	Dollars	15.54			15.70
Class I	utilization percentage	<u>Percent</u>	41 43 45	P	Cla	1999		19.41			19.65
		ᆈ		l SS I	ition Itage	1998	cut	43			41
liveries lass I	Percent change <u>2</u> /		0.2 0.8 -1.0 0.1	Class I	utilization percentage	1999	Percent	39			40
Producer deliveries used in Class I	Total	Bil. lbs.	45.0 45.5 44.9 45.0	Producer deliveries	used in Class I	Percent change <u>2</u> /		-2.0			-1.3
^				Producer	used in	Total	Bil. lbs.	3.9			7.4
Average daily deliv-	eries per producer	Pounds	3,384 3,442 3,676 3,755	- daily	ries	Per producer	Pounds	4,259 4,390			4,322
er ies	Percent change <u>2</u> /		0.7 -4.0 1.0 -5.7	Average daily	deliveries	Total	Mil. lbs.	311.0 318.1			314.4
Producer deliveries	Total	Bil. Ibs.	108.5 104.5 105.2 99.2	Producer	deliveries	Percent change $\frac{2}{}$		4.3			2.7
ı, e		Bl		Pro	deli	Total	Bil. lbs.	9.6 <u>4</u> / 8.9 <u>4</u> /			18.5 4/
Average			87,882 82,959 78,425 72,369		Number of	producers		73,027 72,470			72,749
Number of	markets <u>1</u> /		33 32 31	-	Number Nof	\S\		6 6			
;	Year		1995 1996 1997 1998		Year		900	Jan. Feb. Mar.	May June July	Sept. Oct.	Year to date 5/

230 million and 180 million pounds in February 1999 and 1998, respectively, that normally would have been pooled under these orders. The total estimated amounts of milk not pooled disadvantageous price situations in some markets, handlers elected not to pool an estimated 290 million and 480 million pounds in January 1999 and 1998, respectively, and an estimated when it is more advantageous to associate the milk with a State milk order or when the Class III price is too high relative to the pricing programs used to pay dairy farmers. 5/ Average 1/ End-of-year figure. Remaining annual statistics are for all markets in effect during any part of the year. 2/ Represents changes over the previous year. Percentages computed from Class II, III, or III-A price. In these situations, handlers may elect not to pool milk that normally would have been associated with the order. Handlers also may elect not to pool milk unrounded numbers. Data for 1996 have been adjusted to a 365-day basis before computing percent changes. 3/ Excludes Eastern South Dakota and Greater Kansas City. 4/ Due to for this reason are; for 1999, 520 million pounds; and for 1998, 660 million pounds. These price situations occur when the blend price adjusted for location is equal to or less than the

SUMMARY OF PACKAGED DISPOSITIONS OF FLUID MILK AND FLUID CREAM ITEMS $\underline{1}/$

											_						_			_
nd <u>5</u> /	ent	Bf.		2.50	2.49	2.50		2.29	2.32	2.35	2.38	2.39	2.46	2.45	2.39	2.34	2.36	2.48	2.54	2.39
Total fluid milk and fluid cream items <u>5</u> /	Percent	Change <u>6</u> /		0.8	1.2	0.1		-3.2	-1.7	-0.3	-3.1	-4.5	2.3	1.5	0.3	8.0-	1.0	1.2	0.3	9.0-
Total fluid		sition	Mil. lbs.	47,654	48,649	48,632		3,731	3,352	3,667	3,482	3,504	3,375	3,486	3,560	3,624	3,803	3,582	3,725	42,890
,	ant	Bf.		20.5	20.9	21.3		21.2	22.2	21.2	22.0	22.1	21.5	22.1	21.7	21.6	21.9	22.1	21.4	21.7
Cream items 4/	Percent	Change <u>6</u> /		3.0	3.0	4.9		1.0	4.5	2.5	10.2	1.4	10.1	5.1	3.7	3.4	3.0	1.7	3.8	4.2
Cre	D.S. C.	sition	Mil. lbs.	870	983	1,028		28	54	65	65	99	70	89	65	63	<i>L</i> 9	75	80	798
·	ut	Bf.		10.6	10.8	10.9		11.0	11.2	11.7	11.1	11.1	11.6	11.1	11.1	11.1	11.0	11.2	11.6	11.2
Milk and cream mixtures	Percent	Change <u>6</u> /		-1.3	6.0	1.2		1.8	9.0	5.1	5.5	-6.4	6.9	1.8	1.9	-4.6	-2.8	0.1	-9.8	-0.3
Milk		Sition	Mil. Ibs.	674	739	746		39	37	45	42	42	43	42	41	40	42	45	45	503
	nt	Bf.		1.38	1.29	1.29		1.27	1.26	1.26	1.26	1.25	1.27	1.28	1.28	1.26	1.27	1.27	1.28	1.27
Fat-reduced milk items 3/	Percent	Change <u>6</u> /		1.8	1.6	0		-2.1	-1.1	0.3	-3.3	-4.7	2.1	1.3	0.3	-1.0	0.7	0.5	0	9.0-
Fa		sition	Mil. lbs.	28,890	30,119	30,051		2,437	2,183	2,376	2,249	2,259	2,108	2,173	2,254	2,340	2,458	2,276	2,344	27,458
	nt	Bf.		3.27	3.26	3.26		3.26	3.27	3.26	3.26	3.27	3.27	3.26	3.26	3.26	3.26	3.26	3.27	3.26
Whole milk items 2/	Percent	Change <u>6</u> /		4.1- 5.5	0.3	-0.9		-5.3	-2.5	-1.9	-3.7	-5.9	2.2	2.4	9.0	0.3	1.7	1.6	1.8	-0.8
W		Dispo- sition	Mil. lbs.	16,002	15,695	15,511		1,118	666	1,086	1,040	1,051	1,063	1,113	1,110	1,091	1,145	1,071	1,139	13,025
Number	Jo	markets		38	32	31		30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	
Year	and	month		1994	1996	1997	1998 7/	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug.	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Year to date

1/ Total packaged disposition, in and out of the marketing area, by regulated handlers. Besides receipts from producers, these dispositions also may include receipts from other Federal order plants and/or receipts from other sources. Sour cream, yogurt, and eggnog are reported on a used-to-produce basis.

2/ Plain, flavored, and miscellaneous whole milk products.

3/ Plain, solids added, flavored, and miscellaneous fat-reduced milk products, and buttermilk.

4/ Light, heavy, and sour cream and cream dips.

5/ In addition to listed fluid milk and cream products, includes eggnog and yogurt.

6/ Represents changes over the previous year. Percentages are based on the data for all markets combined. Data for 1996 are adjusted to a 365-day basis before computing percent

2/ Represents the data for all Federal milk order markets, except for New York-New Jersey. For percent changes based on comparable markets, see tables 17 and 18.

SUMMARY OF MILK, SKIM MILK, AND CREAM UTILIZED IN MANUFACTURED PRODUCTS $1\!1\!2\!1$

	_	1			_	_		_	_	_	_	_		_	_	_	_	_	-	
	ent	Bf.		4.52	4.69	4.61		4.95	4.71	4.67	4.48	4.30	4.64	5.03	5.22	4.71	5.36	5.75	5.31	4.82
Total $3/$	Percent	Change $\frac{4}{4}$		5.8	4.4	-1.1		-3.1	33.8	10.7	9.0-	-2.3	-34.5	-41.8	-18.4	34.8	-14.2	-31.6	-29.0	9.6-
		Total	Mil. Ibs.	62,399	58,046	58,254		4,626	4,680	5,251	5,400	5,632	3,215	2,435	2,315	3,861	2,948	2,356	2,869	45,586
	snt	Bf.		0.18	0.10	0.08		0.10	90.0	90.0	0.07	0.09	0.11	0.11	0.13	0.20	0.20	0.22	0.10	0.10
Nonfat dry milk	Percent	Change $\frac{4}{4}$		31.6	-26.6	9.0-		12.1	-1.0	12.1	1.2	-18.5	-35.4	-32.8	-43.5	-24.9	-10.1	18.3	15.8	-10.6
Non		Total	Mil. Ibs.	8,066	6,218	6,166		514	558	638	718	613	393	278	210	198	205	295	587	5,206
	nt	Bf.		9.1	9.2	8.9		10.1	9.5	9.5	9.5	8.7	8.0	7.9	8.1	8.3	8.7	8.9	9.4	8.7
Frozen desserts	Percent	Change <u>4</u> /		1.9	-1.4	4.9		-1.8	1.0	7.0	8.0	-5.8	0.1	-3.5	-4.1	-6.0	-9.2	-5.3	8.0	-1.5
Froz		Total	Mil. Ibs.	5,208	5,050	5,446		350	369	458	472	490	575	268	502	427	392	320	315	5,237
	nt	Bf.		3,86	3.95	3.93		4.00	3.90	3.92	3.81	3.70	3.94	4.01	3.89	3.78	4.03	4.39	4.37	3.92
Cheese	Percent	Change <u>4</u> /		6.7	-2.7	-1.3		-3.2	82.4	13.9	-2.2	-0.2	-52.2	9.79-	-40.3	89.2	-28.5	-57.6	-53.1	-17.4
		Total	Mil. lbs.	38,058	37,222	36,802		3,045	3,101	3,455	3,495	3,840	1,630	686	992	2,621	1,707	1,109	1,265	27,247
	nt	Bf.		37.7	37.1	36.2		38.2	36.4	39.0	38.4	39.3	37.0	34.2	35.3	37.6	38.6	38.5	36.9	37.7
Butter	Percent	Change 4/		6.7	9.9-	-8.1		-7.0	-0.8	6.7	-5.0	-7.3	-25.8	9.6-	6.0	3.5	14.2	11.6	-1.3	-1.4
		Total	Mil	1,503	1,497	1,407		143	136	132	129	105	64	70	75	84	101	110	134	1,284
Num-	per	of mkts.		38	32	31		30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	30	
,	Year	month		1994	1996	1997	1998 5/	Jan.	Feb.	Mar.	Apr.	May	June	July	Aug	Sept.	Oct.	Nov.	Dec.	Year to date

1/ Includes producer milk and other source milk used to produce manufactured dairy products in regulated pool plants as well as milk diverted and shipped to non-order plants for processing. Other source milk at regulated plants includes bulk transfers and diversions from other Federal orders, and receipts from unregulated sources. Some of the data are preliminary and partially estimated.

normally would have been pooled under Federal milk orders. Because this milk would have been classified as Class III and Class III-A under the orders, utilization in butter, cheese, and 3/ In addition to listed manufactured products, includes milk, skim milk, and cream used in other manufactured dairy products: e.g. cottage cheese, evaporated milk, condensed milk, 2/ Due to disadvantageous price situations and/or qualification circumstances in some markets in 1993-1997 and 1998, handlers elected not to pool significant volumes of milk that nonfat dry milk production for these years was affected.

4/ Represents changes over the previous year. Percentages are based on the data for all markets combined. These changes are based on pounds of butterfat, except for nonfat dry products, and aerated cream; and milk, skim milk, and cream used in other food and non-food products. The total also includes dumped or spilled milk and plant loss.

milk, which are based on pounds of skim milk. Data for 1996 are adjusted to a 365-day basis before computing percent changes.

5/ Represents the data for all Federal milk order markets, except for New York-New Jersey. For percentage changes based on comparable markets, see tables 20 and 22.

SUMMARY OF PACKAGED SALES OF FLUID MILK PRODUCTS IN MARKETING AREAS DEFINED BY FEDERAL MILK ORDERS <u>1</u>/

		Bf			1.97	1.94	1.90	1.90
ucts	Percent	e 4/	Adj. <u>5</u> /		0.2	0 -0.3	1.5	6.0
Total fluid milk products		Change 4/	Total		0 1.0	-0.1	-1.4	-0.7
Total flui	3 0	63	Adj. <u>5</u> /		43,530 44,169	43,957 43,278	3,591 3,514	7,067
	SoleS		Total	Mil. lbs.	43,434	43,949 43,268	3,742 3,363	7,065
,		Bf			1.32	1.26	1.26	1.26
products 3/	Percent	e 4/	Adj. <u>5</u> /		1.4 0.9	0.4	1.1	0.4
Fat-reduced milk products 3/		Change 4/	Total		1.2	0.3	-1.5	-1.0
Fat		Sales		Mil. Ibs.	26,499 29,077	28,997 28,541	2,486	4,675
		Bf			3.27	3.25	3.26	3.26
Whole milk products 2/	Percent	Change 4/	Adj. <u>5</u> /		-2.0	-0.7	2.0	1.7
hole milk		Char	Total		-2.3	-0.9	1.3	0
W		Sales		Mil. Ibs.	14,964 15,263	14,952 14,728	1,256	2,390
	Number	mkts.			33	31	30	
,	Year	month			1995	1997 1998	1999 6/ Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. May June July Aug. Sept. Oct. Nov.	Year to date

1/ In-area sales include total sales in each of the areas by handlers regulated under the respective orders, by handlers regulated under other orders, by partially regulated handlers, and by producer-handlers. Sales routes of handlers may extend outside defined marketing areas; therefore, some handlers' in-area sales are partially estimated.

 $\frac{2}{2}$ / Plain, flavored, and miscellaneous whole milk products.

3/ Plain, solids added, flavored, and miscellaneous fat-reduced milk products, and buttermilk.

4/ Represents changes over the previous year. Percentages are based on the same group of markets comparable in both years. Data for 1996 are adjusted to a 365-day basis before computing percent changes.

5/ Adjusted to eliminate variation in data to calendar composition and seasonality.

6/ Represents the data for all Federal milk order markets in January. Beginning in February, excludes Nebraska - Western Iowa. See "Summary of Federal Milk Order Actions, February 1999" on page 72.

TABLE 1-FEDERAL ORDER FLUID (CLASS I) DIFFERENTIALS, MARCH 1999 AND MINIMUM FEDERAL ORDER CLASS I PRICES, MARCH AND APRIL 1999 AND 1998 1/

-	Fluid		Class	Class I price		To do not in the	Fluid		Class I price	price	
Federal milk order	diff.	M	March	April	i.	rederal milk order	diff.	Ms	March	Ar	Anril
marketing area	2/	1999	1998	1999	1998	mar eting area	77	1999	1998	1999	1998
			Dollars						Dollars		
NORTH ATLANTIC						WEST NORTH CENTRAL					
New England	3.24	19.51	16.49	13.51	16.56	Upper Midwest	1.20	17.47	14.45	11.47	14.52
New York-New Jersey	3.14	19.41	16.39	13.41	16.46	Eastern South Dakota	1.50	17.77	14.75	11.77	14.82
Middle Atlantic	3.03	19.30	16.28	13.30	16.35	Iowa	1.55	17.82	14.80	11.82	14.87
						Nebraska-Western Iowa	1.75	18.02	15.00	12.02	15.07
SOUTHEASTERN						Greater Kansas City	1.92	18.19	15.17	12.19	15.24
Carolina	3.08	19.35	16.33	13.35	16.40						
Southeast	3.08	19.35	16.33	13.35	16.40	WEST SOUTH CENTRAL					
Upper Florida	3.58	19.85	16.83	13.85	16.90	Southwest Plains	2.77	19.04	16.02	13.04	16.09
Tampa Bay	3.88	20.15	17.13	14.15	17.20	Texas	3.16	19.43	16.41	13.43	16.48
Southeastern Florida	4.18	20.45	17.43	14.45	17.50						
						MOUNTAIN					
EAST NORTH CENTRAL						Eastern Colorado	2.73	19.00	15.98	13.00	16.05
Michigan Upper Pen.	1.35	17.62	14.60	11.62	14.67	Western Colorado	2.00	18.27	15.25	12.27	15.32
Southern Michigan	1.75	18.02	15.00	12.02	15.07	SW. Idaho-E. Oregon	1.50	17.77	14.75	11.77	14.82
E. Ohio-W. Pa.	2.00	18.27	15.25	12.27	15.32	Great Basin	1,90	18.17	15.15	12.17	15.22
Ohio Valley	2.04	18.31	15.29	12.31	15.36	Central Arizona	2.52	18.79	15.77	12.79	15.84
Indiana	1.90	18.17	15.15	12.17	15.22	New Mexico-W. Texas	2.35	18.62	15.60	12.62	15.67
Chicago Regional	1.40	17.67	14.65	11.67	14.72						
Central Illinois	1.61	17.88	14.86	11.88	14.93	PACIFIC					
S. IIIE. Mo.	1.92	18.19	15.17	12.19	15.24	Pacific Northwest	1.90	18.17	15.15	12.17	15.22
LouisLexEvans.	2.11	18.35	15.36	12.38	15.43						
		1									The second second

1/ Prices are for 100 pounds of milk of 3.5 percent butterfat content. Prices are listed generally for the major city in the marketing area; see footnotes on pages 46 and 47 for these locations. 2/ The fluid differential is the amount added to the Basic Formula Price to determine the Class I price. The Basic Formula Price is the base month Minnesota-Wisconsin price for the second preceding month updated with a product price formula. See Table 27. The fluid differentials shown for New England, New York-New Jersey, and Michigan Upper Peninsula reflect location adjustments. The differentials specified in the orders are: New England - \$2.52, New York-New Jersey - \$2.42, and Michigan Upper Peninsula - \$1.15.

CONTINUED

			Price	Prices per hundredweight	eight			Producer differential per
Federal milk order	Class I	I S	Ble	Blend 2/	Class II	Class III	Class III-A $\frac{3}{4}$	0.1 percent of butterfat
IIIAI NEIIII B AI CA	Jan 1999	Jan 1998	Jan 1999	Jan 1998		Jan 1999		Jan 1999
÷ ;				<u>Dollars</u>				<u>Cents</u>
New England 4/	20.08	16.20	18.01	14.74	17.14	16.30	13.15	13.7
New York-New Jersey 5/	19.98	16.10	18.12	14.67	17.22	16.38	13.23	13.7
Middle Atlantic 6/	19.87	15.99	17.23	14.27	17.14	16.32	13.17	1
Regional Average	19.98	16.10	17.85	14.58				13.7
Southeastern								
Carolina 7/	19.92	16.04	19.11	15.56	17.14	16.27	13.12	13.7
Southeast 8/	19.92	16.04	19.07	15.46	17.14	16.27	13.12	13.7
Tampa Bay	20.72	16.84	20.08	16.26	17.14	16.27		13.7
Regional Average 9/	20.14	16.26	19.33	15.69				13.7
 East North Central								
Michigan Upper Peninsula 10/ 11/	18.19	14.31	17.78	14.04	17.14	16.27	13.12	13.7
Southern Michigan 12/	18.59	14.71	17.23	13.87	17.14	16.27	13.12	1
East. Ohio-West. Pennsylvania 13/	18.84	14.96	17.71	14.14	17.14	16.27	13.12	
Ohio Valley 14/	18.88	15.00	17.86	14.22	17.14	16.27	13.12	1
Indiana 15/	18.74	14.86	17.77	14.33	17.14	16.27	13.12	!
Chicago Regional 16/	18.24	14.36	16.77	13.62	17.14	16.27	13.12	-
Central Illinois 17/	18.45	14.57	17.94	14.39	17.14	16.27		13.7
South. Illinois-East. Missouri 18/	18.76	14.88	17.94	14.31	17.14	16.27		13.7
Louisville-Lexington-Evansville	18.95	15.07	18.37	14.65	17.14	16.27	13.12	13.7
Regional Average	18.65	14.77	17.24	13.92				13.7

TABLE 2--FEDERAL MILK ORDER CLASS AND BLEND PRICES AND BUTTERFAT DIFFERENTIALS, JANUARY, WITH COMPARISONS 1/

See footnotes on pages 46 and 47.

TABLE 2-FEDERAL MILK ORDER CLASS AND BLEND PRICES AND BUTTERFAT DIFFERENTIALS, JANUARY, WITH COMPARISONS 1/-CONT.

			Price	Prices per hundredweight	eight			Producer differential per
Federal milk order	Clas	I SSI	Ble	Flend 2/	Class II	Class III	Class III-A $\frac{3}{2}$	0.1 percent of butterfat
marketing area	Jan 1999	Jan 1998	Jan 1999	Jan 1998		Jan 1999		Jan 1999
	+==			<u>Dollars</u>				<u>Cents</u>
West North Central Upper Midwest 19/	18.04	14.16	16.50	13.41	17.14	16.27	13.12	1
Iowa <u>20</u> /	18.39	14.51	16.66	13.64	17.14	16.27	13.12	1
Nebraska-Western Iowa $\frac{21}{2}$ Greater Kansas City $\frac{22}{2}$	18.59	14.71 14.88	16.28 18.53	13.54 14.76	17.14 17.14	16.27 16.27	13.12	13.7
Regional Average <u>9</u> /	18.25	14.37	16.51	13.48				
West South Central	10 61	15 73	18 15	14.68	17 14	16 27	13.12	13.7
Solutiwest Flattis $\underline{22}$ / Texas $\underline{24}$ /	20.00	16.12	18.03	14.97	17.14	16.27	13.12	13.7
Regional Average	19.88	16.00	18.07	14.87				13.7
Mountain								
Eastern Colorado 25/	19.57	15.69	17.91	14.43	17.14	16.27		13.7
Southwestern Idaho-Eastern Oregon 26/	18.34	14.46	16.31	13.43	17.14	16.27	13.14	1
Great Basın <u>21/</u>	18.74	14.80	17.44	13.97	17.14	16.27	13 14	13.7
New Mexico-West Texas 29/	19.19	15.31	16.68	13.68	17.14	16.27	13.12	13.7
Regional Average 9/	19.17	15.29	17.00	13.90				13.7
Pacific								
Pacific Northwest 30/	18.74	14.86	16.22	13.49	17.14	16.27	13.14	1
Regional Average	18.74	14.86	16.22	13.49				
All-Market Average 9/	19.41	15.54	17.47	14.26	17.15			13.7

See footnotes on pages 46 and 47.

CONTINUED

TABLE 3.-FEDERAL MILK ORDER CLASS AND BLEND PRICES AND BUTTERFAT DIFFERENTIALS, FEBRUARY, WITH COMPARISONS 1/

Federal milk order marketing area			Price	Prices per hundredweight	eight			Producer differential per
	Class I	I s	Bler	Blend 2/	Class II	Class III	Class III-A 3/	0.1 percent of butterfat
M. at. Astonetic	Feb 1999	Feb 1998	Feb 1999	Feb 1998		Feb 1999		Feb 1999
Ministration of the services				Dollars				Cents
Now England	05.00	16 53	16 51	00.51	17.64	10.30	12 00	0 21
New York New Jacon 5/	20.38	16.33	15.80	13.02	17.77	10.27	12.80	13.3
Middle Atlantic 6/	20.37	16.32	16.04	14.64	17.64	10.31	12.82):CI
Regional Average	20.48	16.43	16.05	14.86				13.9
Courtbeactern								
Carolina 7/	20.42	16.37	19.24	15.89	17.64	10.27	12.78	13.9
Southeast 8/	20.42	16.37	18.99	15.72	17.64	10.27	12.78	13.9
Tampa Bay	21.22	17.17	19.93	16.54	17.64	10.27		13.9
Regional Average 9/	20.64	16.59	19.29	15.97				13.9
Fact North Central								
Michigan Upper Peninsula 10/11/	18.69	14.64	17.11	14.29	17.64	10.27	12.78	13.9
Southern Michigan 12/	19.09	15.04	15.98	14.22	17.64	10.27	12.78	1
East. Ohio-West. Pennsylvania 13/	19.34	15.29	15.75	14.34	17.64	10.27	12.78	-
Ohio Valley 14/	19.38	15.33	16.79	14.47	17.64	10.27	12.78	1
Indiana 15/	19.24	15.19	17.30	14.59	17.64	10.27	12.78	!
Chicago Regional 16/	18.74	14.69	12.15	13.75	17.64	10.27	12.78	-
Central Illinois 17/	18.95	14.90	17.00	14.63	17.64	10.27		13.9
South. Illinois-East. Missouri 18/	19.26	15.21	16.33	14.47	17.64	10.27		13.9
Louisville-Lexington-Evansville	19.45	15.40	18.18	14.99	17.64	10.27	12.78	13.9
Regional Average	19.15	15.10	14.33	14.10				13.9

See footnotes on pages 46 and 47.

TABLE 3--FEDERAL MILK ORDER CLASS AND BLEND PRICES AND BUTTERFAT DIFFERENTIALS, FEBRUARY, WITH COMPARISONS 1/--CONT.

-			Price	Prices per hundredweight	ight			Producer differential per
rederal milk order	Class I	ss I	Bler	Blend 2/	Class II	Class III	Class III-A 3/	0.1 percent of butterfat
IIIAI KELING AI EA	Feb 1999	Feb 1998	Feb 1999	Feb 1998		Feb 1999		Feb 1999
				Dollars				Cents
West North Central Unner Midwest 19/	18.54	14.49	11.67	13.54	17.64	10.27	12.78	1
Iowa 20/	18.89	14.84	13.04	13.85	17.64	10.27	12.78	!
Nebraska-Western Iowa 21/	19.09	15.04	13.87	13.93	17.64	10.27	12.78	!
Greater Kansas City <u>22</u> / Regional Average <u>9</u> /	19.26	15.21	18.71 12.25	14.99 13.65	17.64	10.27		13.9
West South Central								
Southwest Plains 23/ Texas 24/	20.11	16.06	15.85	14.87	17.64	10.27	12.78	13.9
Regional Average	20.38	16.33	16.13	14.96			ì	13.9
Mountain								
Eastern Colorado <u>25</u> /	20.07	16.02	15.84	14.65	17.64	10.27		13.9
Southwestern Idaho-Eastern Oregon 26/	18.84	14.79	10.83	13.50	17.64	10.27	12.75	
Great Basin <u>27</u> /	19.24	15.19	14.73	14.19	17.64	10.27		
Central Arizona <u>28</u> /	19.86	15.81	14.62	14.24	17.64	10.27	12.75	13.9
New Mexico-West Texas 29/	19.69	15.64	19.03	13.88	17.64	10.27	12.78	13.9
Regional Average <u>9</u> /	19.67	15.62	13.86	14.10				13.9
Pacific								
Pacific Northwest 30/	19.24	15.19	14.47	13.91	17.64	10.27	12.75	:
Regional Average	19.24	15.19	14.47	13.91				
	000	20 31	00 91		37 64			ç
All-Market Average 9/	19.92	15.87	13.02	14.4/	17.65			13.9

See footnotes on pages 45 and 47.

CONTINUED

Change 1999 over 1998 2.22 2.27 3.45 3.45 3.62 3.49 3.30 2.60 2.54 3.02 3.10 0.86 2.99 2.75 3.47 Blend price per hundredweight 14.79 14.45 14.72 15.72 15.58 16.39 14.04 14.45 13.69 14.50 14.39 1998 15.82 14.24 14.34 14.81 17.49 17.00 16.67 16.99 19.03 16.64 16.78 17.36 17.55 14.55 20.01 17.14 1999 Dollars Change 1999 over 1998 3.97 3.96 3.96 3.97 3.96 3.97 3.96 3.97 3.96 3.96 3.96 3.97 3.96 3.96 3.96 3.96 3.97 Class I price per hundredweight 16.35 16.26 16.15 16.25 16.19 17.00 16.41 15.12 15.16 14.73 1998 14.87 15.01 14.51 15.04 15.23 18.69 19.19 20.32 20.11 20.16 20.96 20.38 18.83 19.08 19.12 18.98 18.47 6661 Louisville-Lexington-Evansville Federal milk order East. Ohio-West. Pennsylvania marketing area South. Illinois-East. Missouri Michigan Upper Peninsula New York-New Jersey Regional Average 2/ Southern Michigan Regional Average Chicago Regional Regional Average East North Central Florida Markets Middle Atlantic Central Illinois New England North Atlantic Ohio Valley Southeastern Southeast Carolina Indiana

TABLE 4--AVERAGE FEDERAL MILK ORDER CLASS I AND BLEND PRICES, BY MARKETING AREA, JANUARY-FEBRUARY, WITH COMPARISONS 1/

See footnotes on page 48.

TABLE 4--AVERAGE FEDERAL MILK ORDER CLASS I AND BLEND PRICES, BY MARKETING AREA, JANUARY-FEBRUARY, WITH COMPARISONS 1/--CONT.

	Clas	Class I price per hundredweight	edweight	Ble	Blend price per hundredweight	veight
rederal milk order marketing area	1999	1998	Change 1999 over 1998	1999	1998	Change 1999 over 1998
			Ŏ	Dollars		
West North Central	18 28	14 31		14.23	13.47	92.0
Oppor midwest Iowa	18.63	14.66	3.97	14.87	13.74	1.13
Nebraska-Western Iowa	18.83	14.87	3.96	15.08	13.74	1.34
Greater Kansas City Regional Average <u>2</u> / <u>3</u> /	18.49	14.53	3.96	18.62 14.47	14.8/ 13.56	3.75 0.91
West South Central Southwest Plains	19.84	15.88	3.96	17.02	14.77	2.25
Texas Regional Average	20.24 20.11	16.28 16.15	3.96 3.96	17.21 17.15	14.99 14.91	2.22 2.24
Mountain						
Eastern Colorado	19.81	15.85	3.96	16.93	14.54	2.39
Southwestern Idaho-Eastern Oreg.	18.58	14.62	3.96	12.70	13.47	-0.77
Great Basin	18.98	15.02	3.96	16.10	14.07	2.03
Central Arizona New Mexico-West Texas	19.42	15.47	3.95	17.27	13.78	3.49
Regional Average 2/	19.41	15.45	3.96	15.43	14.00	1.43
Pacific						
Pacific Northwest	18.98	15.02	3.96	15.38	13.69	1.69
Regional Average	18.98	15.02	3.96	15.38	13.69	1.69
						0
All-Market Avera e $\frac{2}{3}$	19.65	15.70	3.95	16.29	14.36	1.97

See footnotes on page 48.

CONTINUED

TABLE 5-NUMBER OF PRODUCERS DELIVERING MILK TO HANDLERS REGULATED UNDER FEDERAL ORDERS, TOTAL PRODUCER DELIVERIES, BUTTERFAT Average daily delivery per 4,049 3,169 3,793 4,674 3,727 29,319 1,765 3,526 2,796 3,270 3,128 2,581 2,489 3,643 Jan 1998 Pounds producer 5,093 3,757 32,938 Jan 1999 4,323 3,384 3,572 4,111 2,975 3,427 3,564 3,302 2,563 3,358 2,007 CONTENT OF PRODUCER DELIVERIES, AND AVERAGE DAILY DELIVERY PER PRODUCER, BY MARKETING AREA, JANUARY Jan 1998 3.77 3.72 3.75 3.75 3.77 3.72 3.62 3.71 3.83 3.73 3.80 3.79 3.79 3.86 3.86 Butterfat content of producer deliveries Percent Jan 1999 3.78 3.76 3.84 3.79 3.83 3.85 3.90 3.90 3.86 3.86 3.86 3.86 3.80 3.71 3.64 3.72 Jan 1998 Change Percent 7.4 5.5-4.2-2.2-5.8-5.5-6.5-6.3-7.0-7.6-7.6-7.6-7.6from 1.7 2.4-3.7 0.2 Total producer deliveries 494,171 1,011,969 531,546 2,037,686 250,665 551,876 271,755 290,719 122,415 171,347 157,750 265,423 15,894 ,147,735 532.570 Jan 1998 1,000 lbs. 269,172 521,410 260,376 ,050,958 502,515 987,691 375,078 289,547 257,861 171,929 550,995 19,069 ,348,533 144,690 113,857 2,726,080 2,041,20 Jan 1999 lan 1998 Change Number of producers from 187-887-455 619-26-309-214-191-211-,172-47-2,143-25-299-44-368-3,750 9,414 4,976 18,140 3,140 2,427 1,556 13,173 240 1,390 1,830 2,943 26,780 1,705 4,477 255 6,437 Jan 1999 Louisville-Lexington-Evansville East. Ohio-West. Pennsylvania South. Illinois-East. Missouri Federal milk order marketing area Regional Average or Total Michigan Upper Peninsula Regional Average or Total Regional Average or Total Chicago Regional 5/6/ New York-New Jersey Southern Michigan 6/ Florida Markets 4/ East North Central Middle Atlantic Central Illinois New England North Atlantic Ohio Valley Southeastern Southeast Carolina Indiana

See footnotes on page 48.

TABLE 5--NUMBER OF PRODUCERS DELIVERING MILK TO HANDLERS REGULATED UNDER FEDERAL ORDERS, TOTAL PRODUCER DELIVERIES, BUTTERFAT CONTENT OF PRODUCER DELIVERIES, AND AVERAGE DAILY DELIVERY PER PRODUCER, BY MARKETING AREA, JANUARY--CONT.

	Number of	of producers	Total	Total producer deliveries	ies	Butterfat content of producer deliveries	content of deliveries	Average d	Average daily delivery rer producer
rederal milk order marketing area	Jan 1999	Change from Jan 1998	Jan 1999	Jan 1998	Change from Jan 1998	Jan 1999	Jan 1998	Jan 1999	Jan 1998
			1,000 lbs	<u>lbs.</u>	Percent	Percent	cent	Po	Pounds
West North Central Upper Midwest ½/ ½/	10,520	288-	1,017,571	927,558	7.6	3.81	3.79	3,184	2,867
Iowa <u>5</u> / <u>6</u> / Nebraska-Western Iowa 5/ 6/	3,641	328- 47	337,752	330,957 145,418	2.1	3.86	3.82	3,080	2,894
Greater Kansas-E. S. Dak. 7/	484	101	51,508	46,119	11.7	3.82	3.85	3,433	3,884
Regional Average of Total $\underline{2}$ /	13,409	-600	00,010,1	1,407,004	0.0	7.07	0.00		
West South Central Southwest Plains 5/	2.198	161-	256,732	266,150	3.5-	3.76	3.80	3,768	3,639
Texas	1,508	100	586,568	490,957	19.5	3.66	3.74	12,547	11,248
Regional Average or Total	3,706	-19	843,300	757,107	11.4	3.69	3.76		
Mountain									
East. Colorado-West. Colorado 2/	274	139-	148,478	153,137	3.0-	3.68	3.68	17,480	11,961
Southwestern Idaho-Eastern Oregon 5/ 6/	387	28-	151,019	111,709	35.2	3.70	3.71	27,642	22,947
Great Basin	538	<u>,</u>	186,046	197,293	-/.0	3.12	3.69	77,000	11,509
Central Arizona New Mexico, West Texas	138	7.	241,396 166 745	220,390	20.8	3.67	3.74	38.977	46.860
Regional Average or Total	1,438	192-	893,686	899,363	-9:	3.68	3.69		
Pacific									
Pacific Northwest	1,117	54-	569,520	540,776	5.3	3.73	3.78	16,447	14,897
Regional Average or Total	1,117	-54-	569,520	540,776	5.3	3.73	3.78		
All-Market Average or Total 3/	73,027	4,006-	9,641,430	9,245,731	4.3	3.78	3.76	4,259	3,872

See footnotes on page 48.

TABLE 6--NUMBER OF PRODUCERS DELIVERING MILK TO HANDLERS REGULATED UNDER FEDERAL ORDERS, TOTAL PRODUCER DELIVERIES, BUTTERFAT CONTENT OF PRODUCER DELIVERIES, AND AVERAGE DAILY DELIVERY PER PRODUCER, BY MARKETING AREA, FEBRUARY

ومقروبة والإنصافية	Number of	Number of producers	Total	Total producer deliveries	ies	Butterfat	Butterfat content of producer deliveries	Average dail	Average daily delivery per producer
redetal lilik older marketing area	Feb 1999	Change from Feb 1998	Feb 1999	Feb 1998	Change from Feb 1998	Feb 1999	Feb 1998	Feb 1999	Feb 1998
M. at Ad. at.			1,000	1,000 lbs.	Percent	Per	Percent	Po	Pounds
Note England	3,742	158-	460,671	453,293	1.6	3.74	3.75	4,397	4,151
New York-New Jersey	9,402	810-	914,135	934,063	2.1-	3.73	3.72	3,472	3,267
Middle Atlantic	4,859	364	494,152	504,177	2.0-	3.80	3.75	3,632	4,006
Regional Average or Total	18,003	-+09	1,868,958	1,891,533	1.2-	3.75	3.74		
Southeastern									
Carolina	1,661	91	250,908	223,636	12.2	3.73	3.75	5,395	5,087
Southeast	4,454	130-	474,057	496,695	4.6-	3.61	3.66	3,433	3,495
Florida Markets 4/	235	33-	242,076	251,793	3.9-	3.57	3.64	36,790	33,421
Regional Average or Total	6,350	72-	967,041	972,124	.	3.63	3.68		
East North Central									
Michigan Upper Peninsula	81	3-	5,081	5,277	3.7-	3.75	3.79	2,240	2,244
Southern Michigan 5/	2,905	29-	338,844	325,860	4.0	3.77	3.69	4,166	3,967
East. Ohio-West. Pennsylvania 5/	3,138	150-	262,441	265,695	1.2-	3.84	3.78	2,987	2,886
Ohio Valley <u>5</u> /	2,299	323-	222,389	250,248	11.1-	3.84	3.77	3,455	3,409
Indiana <u>5</u> /	1,499	162-	152,623	157,233	2.9-	3.80	3.76	3,636	3,381
Chicago Regional 5/6/	13,131	1,127-	1,247,170	1,230,819	1.3	3.79	3.77	3,392	3,083
Central Illinois 5/	229	37	17,546	14,295	22.7	3.93	3.81	2,736	2,659
South. Illinois-East. Missouri 5/	1,403	155-	144,561	164,577	12.2-	3.79	3.78	3,680	3,773
Louisville-Lexington-Evansville	1,800	79-	112,916	108,591	4.0	3.77	3.74	2,240	2,064
Regional Average or Total	26,485	1,991-	2,503,571	2,522,595	% .	3.80	3.76		

See footnotes on page 48.

TABLE 6--NUMBER OF PRODUCERS DELIVERING MILK TO HANDLERS REGULATED UNDER FEDERAL ORDERS, TOTAL PRODUCER DELIVERIES, BUTTERFAT CONTENT OF PRODUCER DELIVERIES, AND AVERAGE DAILY DELIVERY PER PRODUCER, BY MARKETING AREA, FEBRUARY--CONT.

	Number of	of producers	Total	Total producer deliveries	ies	Butterfat content of producer deliveries	content of deliveries	Average d	Average daily delivery per producer
rederal milk order marketing area	Feb 1999	Change from Feb 1998	Feb 1999	Feb 1998	Change from Feb 1998	Feb 1999	Feb 1998	Feb 1999	Feb 1998
Work Month Control			1,000 lbs	<u>lbs.</u>	Percent	Percent	<u>cent</u>	<u>B</u>	Pounds
West Notifi Central Upper Midwest 5/ 6/ Iowa 5/ 6/	10,529	166-	905,621	882,388	2.6	3.77	3.75	3,226	2,974
Nebraska-Western Iowa 5/ 6/	1,314	61-	159,852	148,167	7.9	3.75	3.76	4,916	3,973
Regional Average or Total 3/	15,631	151-	1,394,165	1,328,521	4.9	3.77	3.75) (27. 	0,0
West South Central	2 200	54-	245 910	245 992	00	3 65	3 70	3 076	3 882
Texas 5/	1,340	172-	515,725	496,941	3.8	3.60	3.65	13,745	11,738
Regional Average or Total	3,549	226-	761,635	742,933	2.5	3.62	3.67		
Mountain	0,00	154	073 661	170 071	Ċ.	9	37 6	303.00	200 61
East. Colorado-West. Colorado <u>1</u> / Southwestern Idaho-Eastern Oregon 6/	388	104-	132,368 291.820	142,377	0.9- 122.7	3.64 3.64	3.63	20,383	23.701
Great Basin	538	17-	181,643	178,060	2.0	3.65	3.65	12,058	11,458
Central Arizona	100	5-	228,668	206,596	10.7	3.61	3.63	81,667	72,338
New Mexico-West Texas Regional Average or Total	1,330	61- 261-	55,835 890,534	207,547 865,593	/3.1- 2.9	3.63 3.63	3.64	26,948	54,907
Pacific									
Pacific Northwest	1,122	-04	521,477	496,637	5.0	3.73	3.67	16,599	15,264
Regional Average	1,122	40-	521,477	496,637	5.0	3.73	3.67		
All-Market Average or Total 3/	72,470	3,345-	8,907,381	8,819,936	1.0	3.73	3.72	4,390	4,155

See footnotes on page 48.

CONTINUED

TABLE 7--PRODUCER DELIVERIES OF MILK USED IN CLASS I AND GROSS CLASS I USE BY HANDLERS REGULATED UNDER FEDERAL ORDERS, BY MARKETING AREA, JANUARY, WITH COMPARISONS

North Atlantic New England North Atlantic	The properties of the properti	m Jan 1999 Jan 1998		, J
ew Jersey tic rage or Total ets 4/ rrage or Total ets 4/ rrage or Total ets 4/ rrage or Total ets 4/ rrage or Total ets 4/ rrage or Total ets 4/ rrage or Total ets 4/ sil, 735 fest. Pennsylvania 177,482 139,225 104,527			8 Jan 1999	Jan 1998
ew Jersey ew Jersey tic rage or Total ets 4/ erage or Total trage or Total ber Peninsula fest. Pennsylvania ew Jersey 206,820 400,601 224,314 831,735 117,482 fest. Pennsylvania 158,548 119,225		Percent	1,000 pounds	Percent
nd				
New Jersey 410,708 218,995 verage or Total 860,221 tkets 4/ verage or Total 224,314 verage or Total 831,735 ichigan 177,482 West. Pennsylvania 158,548				3.0-
rerage or Total 860,221 rkets 4/ verage or Total 224,314 verage or Total 831,735				1.6-
werage or Total 860,221 rkets 4/ verage or Total 224,314 sinchigan 4,334 lichigan 177,482 West. Pennsylvania 158,548 // 104,527		39.7 43.5	243,373	2.9-
206,820 400,601 224,314 verage or Total 831,735 entral fipper Peninsula iichigan West. Pennsylvania 177,482 139,225				
206,820 400,601 224,314 verage or Total 231,735 entral pper Peninsula 177,482 ichigan West. Pennsylvania 139,225				
400,601 224,314 or Total 831,735 eninsula 4,334 n 177,482 Pennsylvania 158,548 139,225			227.360	2.7
or Total 224,314 or Total 831,735 eninsula 4,334 I77,482 Pennsylvania 158,548 139,225			445,419	3.4-
or Total 831,735 Peninsula 4,334 I 77,482 Pennsylvania 158,548 I 39,225 I 04,527	235,064 4.6-	86.2 86.5	238,488	4.8-
eninsula 4,334 n 177,482 Pennsylvania 158,548 139,225				
eninsula 4,334 n 177,482 Pennsylvania 158,548 139,225 104,527				
177,482 158,548 139,225 104,527			4.469	4.5-
158,548 139,225 104,527	175,478 1.1	47.3 49.4	196,519	1.7
139,225			170,890	9.9
104, 527			156,113	1.8-
	110,808 5.7-		122,772	1.0-
Chicago Regional 232,864 224,583			261,092	4.8
14,184		74.4 79.9	16,577	8.2
South. Illinois-East. Missouri 81,715 90,891	90,891 10.1-		97,939	0
Louisville-Lexington-Evansville 94,487	94,487 3.4-		103,420	1.4
Regional Average or Total 1,004,153 1,008,727		36.8 39.8		

See footnotes on page 48.

TABLE 7--PRODUCER DELIVERIES OF MILK USED IN CLASS I AND GROSS CLASS I USE BY HANDLERS REGULATED UNDER FEDERAL ORDERS, BY MARKETING AREA, JANUARY, WITH COMPARISONS--CONT.

	Produc	Producer deliveries used in Class I	n Class I	Class I utilization percentage		Gross Class I use
rederal milk order marketing area	Jan 1999	Jan 1998	Change from Jan 1998	Jan 1999 Jan 1998	98 Jan 1999	Change from Jan 1998
	1,000	Spunod 000	Percent	Percent	1,000 pounds	Percent
West North Central						
Upper Midwest	138,671	141,822	2.2-	13.6	.3 139,935	-4-
Iowa	89,307	89,114	0.2			1.4
Nebraska-Western Iowa	50,798	52,753	3.7-	31.5 36.3		6.3-
Greater Kansas City-E. S. Dak. 7/8/	1	-	;			•
Regional Average or Total 3/	278,776	283,689	1.7-	18.4 20.2	.2	
West South Central						
Southwest Plains	129.501	132.235	2.1-			2.5-
Texas	282,276	281,900	0.1	48.1 57.4	4 284,246	.2-
Regional Average or Total	411,777	414,135	-9.0			
East. Colorado-West. Colorado 7/	71,170	70,677	0.7	47.9	.2 78,118	9:
Southwestern Idaho-Eastern Oreg.	15,433	15,712	1.8-			
Great Basin	76,687	75,790	1.2			1.7
Central Arizona	86,238	88,717	2.8-	35.7 39.2	.2 93,103	1.1-
New Mexico-West Texas	54,627	55,837	2.2-			2.2-
Regional Average or Total	304,155	306,733	-8.0		.1	
Pacific						
Pacific Northwest	175,699	183,819	4.4-	30.9 34.0	.0 189,363	3.0-
Regional Average or Total	175,699	183,819	4.4-		0.	
All-Market Average or Total 3/8/	3,866,516	3,947,188	2.0-	40.1	7.	
MIL Mainer Interact to a roung of S	2,100012) 			

See footnotes on page 48.

CONTINUED

TABLE 8--PRODUCER DELIVERIES OF MILK USED IN CLASS I AND GROSS CLASS I USE BY HANDLERS REGULATED UNDER FEDERAL ORDERS, BY MARKETING AREA, FEBRUARY, WITH COMPARISONS

Tadam maile andon	Produc	Producer deliveries used in Class I	Class I	Class I utilization percentage	itage	Gross Class I use	lass I use
rederal milk otder marketing area	Feb 1999	Feb 1998	Change from Feb 1998	Feb 1999 Feb	Feb 1998	Feb 1999	Change from Feb 1998
	1,000	1,000 pounds	Percent	Percent	1.0	spunod 000'l	Percent
North Atlantic						•	
New England	207,369	203,479	1.9		6.4	220,963	0.2
New York-New Jersey	369,101	377,022	2.1-		4.(369,101	2.1-
Middle Atlantic	203,363	211,757	4.0-	41.2	42.0	222,719	3.5-
Regional Average or Total	779,833	792,258	1.6-		6.1		
Southeastern							
Carolina	193,709	183,467	5.6		0.0	210,689	6.1
Southeast	373,133	375,806	0.7-		5.7	409,984	.2-
Florida Markets 4/	208,446	212,527	1.9-	86.1 8.	84.4	223,487	2.0-
Regional Average or Total	775,288	771,800	0.5		5.4		
East North Central							
Michigan Upper Peninsula	4,014	3,918	2.5		1.2	4,226	1.7
Southern Michigan	158,320	158,472	0.1-		9.6	175,089	0.1
East. Ohio-West. Pennsylvania	147,039	134,385	9.4	56.0 50	50.6	158,438	9.4
Ohio Valley	128,247	130,765	1.9-		3	140,995	1.3-
Indiana	95,812	96,420	-9.0		.3	108,404	1.8-
Chicago Regional	199,852	198,486	0.7		5.1	223,812	1.5
Central Illinois	13,108	11,395	15.0		7.0	15,152	11.1
South. Illinois-East. Missouri	74,128	82,241	-6.6		0.0	87,913	2.9-
Louisville-Lexington-Evansville	81,196	84,843	4.3-		5.1	90,389	2.7-
Regional Average or Total	001 716	900 008	10		35.7		

See footnotes on page 48.

TABLE 8--PRODUCER DELIVERIES OF MILK USED IN CLASS I AND GROSS CLASS I USE BY HANDLERS REGULATED UNDER FEDERAL ORDERS, BY MARKETING AREA, FEBRUARY, WITH COMPARISONS--CONT.

Total one loss	Produc	Producer deliveries used in Class I	Class I	Class I utilization percentage	ion percentage	Gross (Gross Class I use
marketing area	Feb 1999	Feb 1998	Change from Feb 1998	Feb 1999	Feb 1998	Feb 1999	Change from Feb 1998
	1,000	1,000 pounds	Percent	Percent	<u>cent</u>	1,000 pounds	Percent
West North Central							
Upper Midwest	123,991	123,469	0.4	13.7	14.0	123,745	1.5-
Iowa	80,392	78,128	2.9	24.5	26.2	86,372	2.9
Nebraska-Western Iowa	45,983	51,836	11.3-	28.8	35.0	50,916	10.8-
Greater Kansas City-E. S. Dak. 7/ 8/	1	!	1	1	1	!	1
Regional Average or Total 3/	250,366	253,433	1.2-	18.0	19.1		
West South Central							
Southwest Plains	114.277	117.085	2.4-	46.5	47.6	121.926	2.7-
Texas	251,707	250,562	0.5	48.8	50.4	253,725	0.9
Regional Average or Total	365,984	367,647	0.5-	48.1	49.5		
East. Colorado-West. Colorado 7/	65,942	65,361	6.0	49.7	45.9	71,020	-4-
Southwestern Idaho-Eastern Oreg.	14,570	14,804	1.6-	5.0	11.3	16,342	- 8:
Great Basin	72,734	68,150	6.7	40.0	38.3	79,350	6.4
Central Arizona	79,242	81,700	3.0-	34.7	39.5	85,889	1.4-
New Mexico-West Texas	48,016	49,906	3.8-	86.0	24.0	50,121	2.3-
Regional Average or Total	280,504	279,921	0.2	31.5	32.3		
Pacific							
Pacific Northwest	160,735	165,340	2.8-	30.8	33.3	171,800	3.6-
Regional Average or Total	160,735	165,340	2.8-	30.8	33.3		
All-Market Average or Total 3/ 8/	3,514,426	3,531,324	0.5-	39.5	40.0		

See footnotes on page 48.

TABLE 9.-PRODUCER DELIVERIES OF MILK USED IN CLASS II BY HANDLERS REGULATED UNDER FEDERAL ORDERS, BY MARKETING AREA, JANUARY AND YEAR TO DATE

Federal milk order	Producer deliveries used in Class II	deliveries Class II	Class II u	Class II utilization	Producer used in	Producer deliveries used in Class II	Class II u	Class II utilization
marketing area	Jan 1999	Jan 1998	Jan 1999	Jan 1998	Year to date 1999	Year to date 1998	Year to date 1999	Year to date 1998
M. at. A d. at.	1.000 1	spunod 000	Percent	cent	1,000	1,000 pounds	Per	Percent
Norn Auguic New England New York-New Jersey	71,226	71,249	14.2	14.4 14.6	71,226	71,249	14.2	14.4
Middle Atlantic Regional Average or Total	87,050 291,143	90,113	15.8	17.0 15.2	87,050 291,143	90,113	15.8 14.3	17.0 15.2
<u>Southeastern</u> Carolina	30 362	32, 257	11.3	12.9	298 08	72 CE		12.9
Southeast	38,445	43,351	7.4	7.9	38,445	43,351	7.7	7.9
Florida Markets <u>4</u> /	16,042	22,105	6.2	8.1	16,042	22,105	6.2	8.1
Regional Average or Total	84,849	97,713	8.1	9.1	84,849	97,713	8.1	9.1
East North Central								
Michigan Upper Peninsula	131	226	2.4	3.9	131	226	2.4	3.9
Southern Michigan	69,184	65,885	18.4	18.5	69,184	65,885	18.4	18.5
East. Ohio-West. Pennsylvania	19,755	20,568	8.9	7.1	19,755	20,568	8.9	7.1
Ohio Valley	53,183	57,860	20.6	21.8	53,183	57,860	20.6	21.8
Indiana	30,943	34,638	18.0	20.2	30,943	34,638	18.0	20.2
Chicago Regional	66,110	80,115	4.9	7.0	66,110	80,115	4.9	7.0
Central Illinois	342	119	1.8	0.7	342	119	1.8	0.7
South. Illinois-East. Missouri	26,149	31,764	18.1	20.1	26,149	31,764	18.1	20.1
Louisville-Lexington-Evansville	12,880	13,258	11.3	10.8	12,880	13,258	11.3	10.8
Regional Average or Total	278,677	304,433	10.2	12.0	278,677	304,433	10.2	12.0

See footnotes on page 48.

TABLE 9.-PRODUCER DELIVERIES OF MILK USED IN CLASS II BY HANDLERS REGULATED UNDER FEDERAL ORDERS, BY MARKETING AREA, JANUARY AND YEAR TO DATE--CONT.

Federal milk order	Producer deliveries used in Class II	deliveries Class II	Class II u	Class II utilization	Producer used in	Producer deliveries used in Class II	Class II	Class II utilization
marketing area	Jan 1999	Jan 1998	Jan 1999	Jan 1998	Year to date 1999	Year to date 1998	Year to date 1999	Year to date 1998
	1,000 p	spunod	Per	Percent	1,000	1,000 pounds	Per	Percent
West North Central		,	,	,		,	1	
Upper Midwest	25,153	26,618	2.5	2.9	25,153	26,618	2.5	2.9
Iowa	12,669	11,344	3.8	3.4	12,669	11,344	3.8	3.4
Nebraska-Western Iowa	11,412	12,884	7.1	8.9	11,412	12,884	7.1	8.9
Greater Kansas City-E. S. Dak. 7/8/			[•		1		1	1
Regional Average or Total 3/	49,234	50,846	3.2	3.6	49,234	50,846	3.2	3.6
West South Central								
Southwest Plains	25,579	31,297	10.0	11.8	25,579	31,297	10.0	11.8
Texas	84,143	82,997	14.3	16.9	84,143	82,997	14.3	16.9
Regional Average or Total	109,722	114,294	13.0	15.1	109,722	114,294	13.0	15.1
Mountain								
East. Colorado-West. Colorado 2/	16,780	16,739	11.3	10.9	16,780	16,739	11.3	10.9
Southwestern Idaho-Eastern Oreg.	5,185	5,926	3.4	5.3	5,185	5,926	3.4	5.3
Great Basin	18,863	18,110	10.1	9.2	18,863	18,110	10.1	9.2
Central Arizona	15,099	15,038	6.3	9.9	15,099	15,038	6.3	9.9
New Mexico-West Texas	6,162	5,081	3.7	2.4	6,162	5,081	3.7	2.4
Regional Average or Total	62,089	60,894	6.9	8.9	62,089	60,894	6.9	8.9
Pacific								
Pacific Northwest	44,963	42,124	7.9	7.8	44,963	42,124	7.9	7.8
Regional Average or Total	44,963	42,124	7.9	7.8	44,963	42,124	7.9	7.8
All-Market Average or Total 3/ 8/	920.677	979.093	9.5	10.6	920.677	979 093	9.5	10.6
All-IMAINEL AVEIAGE UL LUIAL 2/ 9/	770,011	217,073	7.5	10.0	110,027	217,073	7.7	10.0

See footnotes on page 48.

TABLE 10--PRODUCER DELIVERIES OF MILK USED IN CLASS II BY HANDLERS REGULATED UNDER FEDERAL ORDERS, BY MARKETING AREA, FEBRUARY AND YEAR TO DATE

Federal milk order	Producer deliveries used in Class II	Jeliveries Class II	Class II 1	Class II utilization	Producer deliverior used in Class II	Producer deliveries used in Class II	Class II t	Class II utilization
marketing area	Feb 1999	Feb 1998	Feb 1999	Feb 1998	Year to date 1999	Year to date 1998	Year to date 1999	Year to date 1998
	1,000	1,000 pounds	Per	Percent	1,000	1,000 pounds	Per	Percent
North Atlantic New England	069 69	75 415	13.6	16.6	133 916	146 664	13.9	7 5
New York-New Jersev	124.665	138,061	13.6	14.8	257.532	285,488	13.5	14.7
Middle Atlantic	61,924	92,046	12.5	18.3	148,974	182,159	14.3	17.6
Regional Average or Total	249,279	305,522	13.3	16.2	540,422	614,311	13.8	15.6
Southeastern								
Carolina	33,909	31,567	13.5	14.1	64,271	63,824	12.4	13.5
Southeast	32,670	39,258	6.9	7.9	71,115	82,609	7.1	7.9
Florida Markets 4/	18,061	21,415	7.5	8.5	34,103	43,520	8.9	8.3
Regional Average or Total	84,640	92,240	8.8	9.5	169,489	189,953	8.4	9.3
East North Central								
Michigan Upper Peninsula	142	171	2.8	3.2	273	397	2.6	3.6
Southern Michigan	58,029	70,853	17.1	21.7	127,213	136,738	17.8	20.1
East. Ohio-West. Pennsylvania	12,744	21,071	4.9	7.9	32,499	41,639	5.9	7.5
Ohio Valley	35,481	56,093	16.0	22.4	88,664	113,953	18.5	22.1
Indiana	22,071	32,042	14.5	20.4	53,014	089'99	16.3	20.3
Chicago Regional	53,886	62,746	4.3	5.1	119,996	142,861	4.6	0.9
Central Illinois	494	451	2.8	3.2	836	570	2.3	1.9
South. Illinois-East. Missouri	25,217	30,338	17.4	18.4	51,366	62,102	17.8	19.3
Louisville-Lexington-Evansville	16,244	10,755	14.4	6.6	29,124	24,013	12.8	10.4
Regional Average or Total	224,308	284,520	0.6	11.3	502,985	588,953	9.6	11.7

See footnotes on page 48.

TABLE 10--PRODUCER DELIVERIES OF MILK USED IN CLASS II BY HANDLERS REGULATED UNDER FEDERAL ORDERS, BY MARKETING AREA, FEBRUARY AND YEAR TO DATE--CONT.

Federal milk order	Producer of used in	deliveries Class II	Class II u	Class II utilization	Producer deliveries used in Class II	deliveries Class II	Class II t	Class II utilization
marketing area	Feb 1999	Feb 1998	Feb 1999	Feb 1998	Year to date 1999	Year to date 1998	Year to date 1999	Year to date 1998
	1,000 1	spunod	Per	Percent	1,000 [1,000 pounds	Per	Percent
West North Central								
Upper Midwest	24,623	28,001	2.7	3.2	49,776	54,619	2.6	3.0
Iowa	11,817	11,403	3.6	3.8	24,486	22,747	3.7	3.6
Nebraska-Western Iowa	9,218	13,620	5.8	9.2	20,630	26,504	6.4	0.6
Greater Kansas City-E. S. Dak. 7/8/	1	1	1	;	1	1	1	1
Regional Average or Total 3/	45,658	53,024	3.3	4.0	94,892	103,870	3.3	3.8
West South Central								
Southwest Plains	23,671	34,012	9.6	13.8	49,250	62,309	8.6	12.8
Texas	27,251	91,441	5.3	18.4	111,394	174,438	10.1	17.7
Regional Average or Total	50,922	125,453	6.7	16.9	160,644	239,747	10.0	16.0
Mountain Fact Colorado 7/	15 106	15 842	11 4	11.1	31.886	32,581	11.3	11.0
Southwestern Idaho-Eastern Oreg	6.152	6.230	2.1	8.4	11.337	12.156	2.6	5.0
Great Basin	19,455	17,734	10.7	10.0	38,318	35,844	10.4	9.5
Central Arizona	10,850	12,299	4.7	0.9	25,949	27,337	5.5	6.3
New Mexico-West Texas	4,766	4,818	8.5	2.3	10,928	6,899	4.9	2.4
Regional Average or Total	56,329	56,923	6.3	9.9	118,418	117,817	9.9	6.7
Parific								
Pacific Northwest	43,102	40,532	8.3	8.2	88,065	82,656	8.1	8.0
Regional Average or Total	43,102	40,532	8.3	8.2	88,065	82,656	8.1	8.0
All-Market Average or Total $3/8$ /	754,238	958,214	8.5	10.9	1,674,915	1,937,307	9.0	10.7

See footnotes on page 48.

TABLE 11--TOTAL PRODUCER DELIVERIES OF MILK AND PRODUCER DELIVERIES USED IN CLASS I BY HANDLERS REGULATED UNDER FEDERAL ORDERS, BY MARKETING AREA, JANUARY-FEBRUARY, WITH COMPARISONS

	lotal	otal producer deliveries	ies	Producer	Producer deliveries used in Class]	n Class I	Class I u	Class I utilization
Federal milk order marketing area	1999	1998	Change 1999 from 1998	1999	1998	Change 1999 from 1998	1999	1998
	1,000 pounds	spuno	Percent	1,000	1,000 pounds	Percent	Per	Percent
<u>North Atlantic</u> New England	963.186	947.464	1.7	437.887	438.662	-2-	45.5	46.3
New York-New Jersev	1.901.826	1.946,032	2.3-	779,809	794,323	1.8	41.0	40.8
Middle Atlantic	1,045,147	1,035,723	6.0	422,358	442,812	4.6-	40.4	42.8
Regional Average or Total	3,910,159	3,929,219	Ą.	1,640,054	1,675,797	2.1-	41.9	42.6
Southeastern								
Carolina	520,080	474,301	9.7	400,529	389,599	2.8	77.0	82.1
Southeast	995,467	1,048,571	5.1-	773,734	801,156	3.4-	7.77	76.4
Florida Markets 4/	502,452	523,548	4.0-	432,760	447,591	3.3-	86.1	85.5
Regional Average or Total	2,017,999	2,046,420	1.4-	1,607,023	1,638,346	1.9-	9.62	80.1
East North Central								
Michigan Upper Peninsula	10,597	11,133	4.8-	8,348	8,357	<u>.</u> .	78.8	75.1
Southern Michigan 5/6/	713,922	681,291	4.8	335,802	333,950	9.	47.0	49.0
East. Ohio-West. Pennsylvania 5/	551,988	556,414	%.	305,587	284,877	7.3	55.4	51.2
Ohio Valley 5/	480,250	515,671	-6.9	267,472	275,608	3.0-	55.7	53.4
Indiana 5/	324,552	328,580	1.2-	200,339	207,228	3.3-	61.7	63.1
Chicago Regional 5/	2,595,703	2,378,554	9.1	432,716	423,069	2.3	16.7	17.8
Central Illinois 5/	36,615	30,189	21.3	27,292	24,101	13.2	74.5	79.8
South. Illinois-East. Missouri 5/	289,251	322,327	10.3-	155,843	173,132	10.0-	53.9	53.7
Louisville-Lexington-Evansville	226,773	231,006	1.8-	172,470	179,330	3.8-	76.1	9.77
Regional Average or Total	5.229.651	5,055,165	3.5	1,905,869	1,909,652	.2-	36.4	37.8

See footnotes on page 48.

TABLE 11--TOTAL PRODUCER DELIVERIES OF MILK AND PRODUCER DELIVERIES USED IN CLASS I BY HANDLERS REGULATED UNDER FEDERAL ORDERS, BY MARKETING AREA, JANUARY-FEBRUARY, WITH COMPARISONS--CONT.

	Total	Total producer deliveries	ies	Producer	Producer deliveries used in Class	n Class I	Class I u	Class I utilization
Federal milk order marketing area	1999	1998	Change 1999 from 1998	1999	1998	Change 1999 from 1998	1999	1998
	1,000 pounds	spuno	Percent	1,000 pounds	spunoo	Percent	Per	Percent
West North Central	1 032 103	1 800 046	7	167 667	165 201	0	13.7	7 7 7
Upper Midwest <u>2</u> / <u>6</u> /	1,923,192	1,809,946	6.0	160,600	162,291	-0.1	15.7	14.1
10wa <u>2</u> / <u>9</u> / Nebraska-Western Iowa 5/ 6/	321 214	293.585	0.0 4.6	96.781	104.589	7.5-	30.1	35.6
Greater Kansas Citv-E. S. Dak. 7/8/	98,644	776,78	12.1	:		!		
Regional Average or Total 3/	2,910,850	2,732,454	6.5	529,142	537,122	1.5-	18.2	19.7
West South Central								
Southwest Plains 5/	502,642	512,142	1.9-	243,778	249,320	2.2-	48.5	48.7
Texas 5/	1,102,293	868, 186	11.6	533,983	532,462	ε:	48.4	53.9
Regional Average or Total	1,604,935	1,500,040	7.0	191,777	781,782	- .	48.5	52.1
Mountain								
East. Colorado-West. Colorado 7/	281,046	295,514	4.9-	137,112	136,038	∞.	48.8	46.0
Southwestern Idaho-Eastern Oregon 5/ 6/	442,839	242,722	82.4	30,003	30,516	1.7-	8.9	12.6
Great Basin	367,689	375,353	2.0-	149,421	143,940	3.8	40.6	38.3
Central Arizona	470,066	433,186	8.5	165,480	170,417	2.9-	35.2	39.3
New Mexico-West Texas	222,580	418,181	46.8-	102,643	105,743	2.9-	46.1	25.3
Regional Average or Total	1,784,220	1,764,956	1.1	584,659	586,654	.3-	32.8	33.2
Pacific								
Pacific Northwest	1,090,997	1,037,413	5.2	336,434	349,159	3.6-	30.8	33.7
Regional Average or Total	1,090,997	1,037,413	5.2	336,434	349,159	3.6-	30.8	33.7
All Market Average or Total 3/8/	18,548,811	18,065,667	2.7	7,380,942	7,478,512	1.3-	39.8	41.4

See footnotes on page 48.

TABLE 12--WHOLE MILK PRODUCTS AND FAT-REDUCED MILK PRODUCTS SOLD IN MARKETING AREAS DEFINED BY FEDERAL MILK ORDERS FOR MARKETS WHERE SUCH INFORMATION IS AVAILABLE, DECEMBER 1998, WITH COMPARISONS $\underline{1}/$

		Whole milk p	roducts 2/		Fa	Fat-reduced milk products 3,	k products 3.	/		Total fluid milk products	ilk products	
	Dec	Dec 1998	Change 199 from 1997	Change 1998 from 1997	Dec 1998	8661	Change 1998 from 1997	1998 1997	Dec	Dec 1998	Chang	Change 1998 from 1997
Markeling area	20150	Butter-	2	Year	20100	Butter-	Ž	Year	1 2	Butter-	Ž	Year
	Sales	content	Dec	date	Sales	content	Dec	date	Sales	content	Dec	to date
	Mil	Mil. 1b.	Percent	Sent	Mil. lb	lb.	Percent	EIT	Mil. lb	- IP	Per	Percent
North Atlantic	0 98	3 17	1 %-	ç	136.7	1 07	7-	7-	1111	88	ď	ď
Middle Atlantic	84.6	3.28	3.7-	2.1-	155.5	1.17	i 4;	0.5	240.1	1.91	1.5-	
Regional Total	170.6	3.22	2.7-	1.3-	291.7	1.12	.3-	-1.	462.3	1.90	1.2-	.5
<u>Southeastern</u> Carolina	757	3 24	4 C	6 9-	93.7	1.23	2 0-	6.9	168 4	21.0		0
Tennessee Valley 4/		<u> </u>	; ;	<u>;</u> ;	!	1 1	i	: 1		; ;	:	;
Southeast	169.1	3.27	1.1-	%.	216.1	1.30	3.6-	1.9-	385.2	2.17	2.5-	1.5-
Upper Florida	30.7	3.26	13.1	7.3	44.3	1.18	7.1	÷	75.1	2.03	9.5	2.8
Tampa Bay	31.9	3.24	1.7-	2.5	43.1	1.11	11.7-	2.6-	75.0	2.01	7.7-	·9·
Southeastern Florida	42.3	3.33	-9:	1.8	42.4	1.09	2.2	1.1	84.7	2.21	8.0	1.4
Regional Total 5/	349.3	3.27	8.0	1.0-	439.1	1.23	2.6-	0.1	788.3	2.13	1.2-	4.
East North Central												
Michigan Upper Peninsula	6.0	3.34	14.7-	13.8-	7.4	1.31	6.1	0.5	8.3	1.54	3.3	1.5-
Southern Michigan	49.0	3.21	1.2-	2.7	110.3	1.27	0.1	1.0-	159.3	1.86	ξ.	0.1
E. Ohio-W. Pa.	40.0	3.20	4.8	-9:	106.3	1.40	1.0-	1.3-	146.4	1.89	9.0	1.1-
Ohio Valley	42.1	3.23	3.6-	0.0	113.1	1.46	2.9-	2.3-	155.2	1.94	3.1-	1.7-
Indiana	20.9	3.31	6.4	3.9	79.5	1.41	.3-	0.2	100.4	1.81	1.0	6.0
Chicago Regional	47.9	3.25	2.7-	4.	187.5	1.28	1.6	0.1	235.4	1.68	0.7	0
Central Illinois	3.0	3.27	2.7-	1.4	14.1	1.43	5.4-	.2-	17.1	1.75	5.0-	0.1
S. IIIE. Missouri	17.5	3.23	1.7	5.4	61.8	1.39	3.3	0.7	79.3	1.80	2.9	1.7
LouisLexEvans	16.6	3.25	11.0	7.7	40.5	1.40	0.2	0.0	57.1	1.93	3.1	2.0
Regional Total	237.8	3.24	0.5	1.5	720.5	1.36	0.0	- 9:	958.3	1.82	0.1	-

See footnotes on pages 48 and 49.

CONTINUED

TABLE 12-WHOLE MILK PRODUCTS AND FAT-REDUCED MILK PRODUCTS SOLD IN MARKETING AREAS DEFINED BY FEDERAL MILK ORDERS FOR MARKETS WHOLE 12-WHOLE MILK PRODUCTS FOR MARKETS AVAILABLE, DECEMBER 1998, WITH COMPARISONS 1/--CONT.

		Whole milk products 2/	roducts 2/		Fa	Fat-reduced milk products 3.	k products 3		Ţ	Total fluid milk products	k products	
M. Andrews	Dec	Dec 1998	Change 1998 from 1997	. 1998 1997	Dec 1998	8661	Change 1998 from 1997	1998 1997	Dec	Dec 1998	Change 199 from 1997	Change 1998 from 1997
Mainching alca	-	Butter-	Å	Year		Butter-	4	Year		Butter-	6	Year
	Sales	rat content	Dec	to date	Sales	rat	Dec	to date	Sales	tat	Dec	to
	Mii	<u>Mil. 1b.</u>	Percent	<u>ent</u>	Mil. lb.	<u>'p</u>	Percent	ert	Mil. lb	ョ	Per	Percent
West North Central Upper Midwest	13.1	3.31	6.9	0.4	117.8	1.04	1.6	9.0	130.8	1.27	2.1	9.0
Eastern South Dakota	1.0	3.27	' .	3.2	8.4	1.28	6.5-	0.1	9.4	1.49	5.8-	0.4
Iowa	7.8	3.29	1.6-	·7·	51.4	1.19	8.0	0.1	59.2	1.46	0.5	0
Nebraska-Western Iowa	7.5	3.25	0.8	0.4	34.2	1.24	1.2	.5·	41.7	1.60	1.1	4.
Greater Kansas City	11.6	3.25	1.1	0.0	34.7	1.27	2.7	1.1	46.3	1.77	2.3	1.0
Negional total	 -	07:5	C: 7	<u>+</u>	t .0+7	+ 1:-	7: 1	†	t: /07	7:1	t.	†
West South Central												
Southwest Plains	40.6	3.32	0.5	0.4	60.9	1.34	1.2-	1.5-	101.5	2.13	ż.	-7-
Texas	135.0	3.28	3.4	6.0	144.3	1.31	3.5	1.4	279.3	2.26	3.4	1.1
Regional Total	175.6	3.29	2.7	8.0	205.2	1.32	2.0	0.5	380.8	2.23	2.3	9.0
Mountain												
Eastern Colorado	17.9	3.29	5.3	<u>-</u> .	45.8	1.31	3.0	1.2-	63.7	1.87	3.7	-6:
Western Colorado	1.9	3.31	8.	10.3	5.2	1.41	1.0	4.3	7.1	1.93	3.0	5.8
SW. Idaho-E. Oregon	2.9	3.35	8.5	1.9	12.4	1.44	2.5	0.7	15.3	1.80	3.6	1.0
Great Basin	17.6	3.32	4.0	2.5	62.8	1.41	3.0	1.5	80.4	1.83	3.2	1.7
Central Arizona	25.0	3.26	5.8	4.6	55.5	1.39	0.2	4.0-	9.08	1.97	1.9	1.4-
New MexW. Texas	28.4	3.33	5.7	3.3-	24.0	1.43	12.3	1.2-	52.4	2.46	8.6	2.4-
Regional Total	93.8	3.30	5.5	8.0	7.02.7	1.39	3.2	γ .	299.5	1.99	3.9	-
Pacific												
Pacific Northwest	33.2	3.34	5.8	3.8	159.2	1.35	0.4	2.0-	192.4	1.70	1.3	1.0-
Regional Total	33.2	3.34	5.8	3.8	159.2	1.35	0.4	2.0-	192.4	1.70	1.3	1.0-
Combined Areas (30) $5/6$ /	1,101.2	3.26	1.0	0.1	2,267.7	1.28	0.1	0.3-	3,368.9	1.93	0.4	0.2-
Combined Areas Adj. for Calendar	1 004 7		1.7	1 0	0 356 0	ļ	0.3	0.4	3 340 5		0 0	0.0
Now West War Leader	1,071.2		1.1	7.0	102 5		5	F.	270.0		2.5	77.5
New York-Inew Jersey	10/.3	-	1	:	163.3	-			3/0.8	-	-t.1	I.4-

See footnotes on pages 48 and 49.

TABLE 13.-WHOLE MILK AND FAT-REDUCED MILK PRODUCTS SOLD IN MARKETING AREAS DEFINED BY FEDERAL MILK ORDERS, 1998 AND 1997 ANNUAL TOTALS 1/1

		Whole milk	Whole milk products 2/		Ľ	at-reduced n	Fat-reduced milk products 3/	3/		Total fluid n	Total fluid milk products	
Dodonol mills ondon	61	1998	1997	97	19	1998	61	1997	61	1998	61	1997
rederal milk order marketing area	Quantity	Butter- fat	Quantity	Butter- fat	Quantity	Butter- fat	Quantity	Butter- fat	Quantity	Butter- fat	Quantity	Butter- fat
	Mil. lbs	Percent	Mil. lbs.	Percent	Mil. Ibs	Percent	Mil. lbs	Percent	Mil. lbs.	Percent	Mil. lbs	Percent
NORTH ATLANTIC	4.077	3.24	4,162	3.25	5,435	1.11	5,437	1.13	9.512	2.02	9.599	2.05
New England	985	3.16	066	3.21	1,554	1.06	1,564	1.06	2,539	1.87	2,554	1.90
New York-New Jersey	2,112	3.26	2,171	3.26	2,099	1.11	2,099	1.14	4,211	2.19	4,369	2.22
Middle Atlantic	981	3.26	1,002	3.24	1,782	1.16	1,774	1.18	2,762	1.91	2,776	1.93
SOUTHEASTERN 5/	3,998	3.28	4,037	3.23	5,254	1.21	5,249	1.21	9,252	2.10	9,286	2.09
Carolina	860	3.26	924	3.09	1,134	1.19	1,067	1.20	1,994	2.08	1,991	2.08
Tennessee Valley <u>4/8</u> /	1		179	3.28	1	1	374	1.37	1	1	553	1.99
Southeast	1,944	3.26	1,960	3.27	2,588	1.28	2,639	1.28	4,532	2.13	4,599	2.13
Upper Florida	344	3.29	321	3.27	516	1.16	516	1.14	860	2.01	837	1.96
Fampa Bay	360	3.31	352	3.29	535	1.09	549	1.08	895	1.98	901	1.94
Southeastern Florida	489	3.31	480	3.30	482	1.07	476	1.06	971	2.20	957	2.19
EAST NORTH CENTRAL	2,702	3.24	2,661	3.25	8,346	1.34	8,398	1.35	11,048	1.80	11,060	1.81
Michigan Upper Peninsula	11	3.28	13	3.11	84	1.33	84	1.41	95	1.56	26	1.64
Southern Michigan	561	3.20	546	3.25	1,264	1.24	1,277	1.25	1,825	1.84	1,823	1.88
Eastern Ohio-Western Pa.	433	3.23	436	3.22	1,226	1.39	1,242	1.40	1,659	1.87	1,678	1.87
Ohio Valley	486	3.22	486	3.25	1,352	1.43	1,384	1.44	1,838	1.91	1,870	1.91
Indiana	238	3.31	229	3.29	876	1.38	927	1.40	1,166	1.77	1,155	1.77
Chicago Regional	562	3.26	564	3.25	2,153	1.27	2,151	1.30	2,715	1.68	2,716	1.70
Central Illinois	34	3.27	33	3.31	168	1.38	168	1.29	202	1.70	202	1.77
S. IIIE. Missouri	200	3.21	190	3.21	269	1.36	693	1.41	897	1.77	882	1.76
Louisville-LexEvans.	177	3.30	164	3.28	473	1.38	473	1.39	650	1.90	637	1.88

32

See footnotes on pages 48 and 49.

TABLE 13.-WHOLE MILK AND FAT-REDUCED MILK PRODUCTS SOLD IN MARKETING AREAS DEFINED BY FEDERAL MILK ORDERS, 1998 AND 1997 ANNUAL TOTALS I/ -CONT.

		Whole milk products 2/	products 2/		正	at-reduced m	Fat-reduced milk products 3	3/		Total fluid n	Total fluid milk products	
Todonol maille	19	1998	1997	97	19	1998	19	1997	61	1998	15	1997
rederal milk Order		Butter-		Butter-		Butter-		Butter-		Butter-		Butter-
IIIAI NCIIIIB AI CA	Quantity	fat	Quantity	fat	Quantity	fat	Quantity	fat	Quantity	fat	Quantity	fat
		content		content		content		content		content		content
	Mil.	Percent	Mil.lbs.	Percent	Mil. Ibs	Percent	Mil. lbs.	Percent	Mil. Ibs	Percent	Mil. Ibs	Percent
		,	į	ò	6	•		•	0	,	,	;
WEST NORTH CENTRAL	458	3.27	456	3.26	2,822	1.13	2,811	1.15	3,280	1.43	3,266	1.44
Upper Midwest	143	3.29	143	3.26	1,346	1.04	1,337	1.06	1,489	1.25	1,480	1.27
Eastern South Dakota	11	3.27	11	3.23	100	1.27	100	1.29	111	1.47	1111	1.48
Iowa	87	3.30	87	3.29	588	1.18	588	1.20	675	1.45	675	1.46
Nebraska-Western Iowa	83	3.26	83	3.26	387	1.21	389	1.23	471	1.57	472	1.58
Greater Kansas City	133	3.25	132	3.25	401	1.26	397	1.28	534	1.76	529	1.77
WEST SOUTH CENTRAL	2,025	3.29	2,010	3.29	2,462	1.30	2,449	1.30	4,487	2.20	4,459	2.20
Southwest Plains	473	3.29	471	3.28	724	1.33	735	1.04	1,197	2.11	1,206	2.10
Texas	1,552	3.29	1,539	3.29	1,738	1.28	1,714	1.28	3,290	2.23	3,253	2.23
INT A TIMIT OF A	1 000	3 26	1 080	3 20	7 285	1 37	2 407	1 30	2 173	1 06	3 487	1 08
MOUNTAIN	1,000	3.20	1,000	7.7	2,700	1.0.	701,7	1.23	0.4.0	1:00	701.0	1.70
Eastern Colorado	199	3.26	199	3.30	524	1.31	530	1.32	/73	1.85	67/	1.86
Western Colorado	22	3.30	20	3.30	61	1.39	58	1.44	83	1.89	78	1.89
S.W. Idaho-E. Oregon	32	3.28	32	3.27	146	1.44	145	1.47	178	1.78	176	1.80
Great Basin	200	3.30	195	3.29	729	1.40	719	1.41	929	1.81	914	1.81
Central Arizona	295	3.20	282	3.26	639	1.37	999	1.41	934	1.95	947	1.96
New Mexico-West Texas	340	3.29	352	3.31	287	1.37	290	1.38	627	2.41	642	2.44
Citio	000	20.0	776	70.0	1 026	1 34	1 073	1 36	7116	1 67	7 730	1 67
PACIFIC	200	3.20	200	5.24	1,030	1.34	1,073	1.30	017.7	1.07	6,233	1.0
Pacific Northwest	380	3.26	366	3.24	1,836	1.34	1,873	1.36	2,216	1.67	2,239	1.6/
Combined areas 5/	14,728	3.26	14,772	3.25	28,541	1.25	28,623	1.26	43,268	1.93	43,396	1.94

See footnotes on pages 48 and 49.

CONTINUED

TABLE 14--WHOLE MILK PRODUCTS AND FAT-REDUCED MILK PRODUCTS SOLD IN MARKETING AREAS DEFINED BY FEDERAL MILK ORDERS FOR MARKETS WHERE SUCH INFORMATION IS AVAILABLE, JANUARY 1999, WITH COMPARISONS 1/

		Whole milk p	products 2/		T.	Fat-reduced milk products 3/	k products 3	/		Total fluid milk products	ilk products	
	Jan	Jan 1999	Chang from	Change 1999 from 1998	Jan	Jan 1999	Change 1999 from 1998	1999	Jan	Jan 1999	Chang	Change 1999 from 1998
Marketing area	Sales	Butter- fat content	Jan	Year to date	Sales	Butter- fat content	Jan	Year to date	Sales	Butter- fat content	Jan	Year to date
N = 44 A0 =	Mil	Mil. Ib.	Per	Percent	Mil	Mil. 1b.	Percent	ent	Mil	Mil. 1b.	Per	Percent
Notification New England Middle Atlantic Regional Total	83.9	3.15	1.2-	1.2-	133.3 156.3 289.7	1.05	1.1-	1.1-	217.2 239.8 457.0	1.86	1.2-0.6	1.2-0.6
				•		1	1	1	2		;	j
<u>Southeastern</u> Carolina	74.3	3.25	1.8	1.8	7.96	1.22	2.8-	2.8-	171.0	2.10	%.	∞.
Southeast	163.7	3.28	3.3-	3.3-	222.8	1.28	4.2-	4.2-	386.6	2.13	3.8-	3.8-
Upper Florida	29.6	3.33	2.7	2.7	47.3	1.18	5.6	5.6	6.92	2.01	2.6	5.6
Tampa Bay	30.2	3.33	-9.9	-9:9	46.9	1.10	-2.6	9.7-	77.1	1.97	8.5-	8.5-
Southeastern Florida	42.1	3.32	-7:	-7-	43.6	1.07	-9:	-9:	85.7	2.18	-9:	-9:
Regional Total	339.9	3.29	1.7-	1.7-	457.3	1.22	3.5-	3.5-	797.2	2.10	2.7-	2.7-
East North Central												
Michigan Upper Peninsula	0.8	3.33	21.6-	21.6-	7.0	1.30	2.3-	2.3-	7.8	1.52	4.8-	4.8-
Southern Michigan	48.1	3.23	2.0	2.0	113.2	1.25	1.4	1.4	161.3	1.84	1.6	1.6
E. Ohio-W. Pa.	38.1	3.23	5.6	2.6	108.1	1.41	1.3	1.3	146.2	1.88	1.6	1.6
Ohio Valley	43.1	3.19	.2-	.2-	117.3	1.44	3.0-	3.0-	160.4	1.91	2.2-	2.2-
Indiana	21.6	3.31	9.4	9.4	82.2	1.39	-9:	-9:	103.8	1.79	1.3	1.3
Chicago Regional	46.5	3.27	-9.9	-9.9	188.6	1.26	1.7-	1.7-	235.0	1.66	2.7-	2.7-
Central Illinois	2.8	3.30	5.5-	5.5-	14.4	1.43	-2-2	-2.9	17.2	1.74	6.5-	-5.9
S. IIIE. Missouri	17.7	3.21	5.4	5.4	61.8	1.37	1.2	1.2	79.5	1.78	2.1	2.1
LouisLexEvans	16.9	3.32	16.6	16.6	43.2	1.39	5.6	5.6	60.2	1.94	8.5	8.5
Regional Total	235.7	3.24	1.4	1.4	735.7	1.35	ęż	ų	971.4	1.81	0.1	0.1

See footnotes on pages 48 and 49.

TABLE 14--WHOLE MILK PRODUCTS AND FAT-REDUCED MILK PRODUCTS SOLD IN MARKETING AREAS DEFINED BY FEDERAL MILK ORDERS FOR MARKETS WHERE SUCH INFORMATION IS AVAILABLE, FEBRUARY 1999, WITH COMPARISONS 1/--CONT.

		whole milk products 2/	Touncis 2/			ורוו החמרכת ווווו	at-Icuacca IIIIIA Flouncis 2/			LOLAI HUIN HIIIK DIOUCIS	TILL MOUNCE	
N. J. M.	Jan	Jan 1999	Change 1999 from 1998	1999	Jan	Jan 1999	Change 1999 from 1998	1999 1998	Jan	Jan 1999	Chang	Change 1999 from 1998
Marketing area	Sales	Butter- fat	Jan	Year	Sales	Butter- fat	Jan	Year	Sales	Butter- fat	l an	Year
		content		date		content		date		content		date
	Mil	Mil. lb.	Percent	ent	Mil	Mil. lb.	Percent	ent	Mil. lb	. lb.	Pe	Percent
West North Central											•	
Upper Midwest	12.2	3.31	4. (4. (115.5	1.02	1.7-	1.7-	127.6	1.24	1.6-	1.6-
Eastern South Dakota	1.0	3.30	1.2	1.2	∞ ¦	1.27	6.5-	6.5-	9.6	1.48	5.8-	5.8-
Iowa	7.5	3.27	1.5-	1.5-	51.3	1.17	2.3-	2.3-	58.8	1.44	2.2-	2.2-
Nebraska-Western Iowa	6.9	3.25	5.4-	5.4-	32.9	1.22	4.4	4.4	39.8	1.57	4.6-	4.6-
Greater Kansas City Regional Total	39.0	3.24 3.27	1.7- 1.9-	1.7-	35.6 244.2	1.25	0.0 2.1-	0.0 2.1-	47.1 283.2	1.73	.5- 2.1-	.5- 2.1-
)												
West South Central	900	312	0	0	7 77	1 21	-	-	105.7	20 6	7	1 6
Southwest Figure Texas	132.7	3.30	γ	₹. 8.	154.1	1.31	0.2	1.4-	286.8	2.22	-0.1	-0.T
Regional Total	173.5	3.29	1.1-	1.1-	218.5	1.29	÷.	ξ.	392.0	2.18	. 6 .	. 6
Eastern Colorado	17.5	3 27	4.1	4 1	45.4	1 28	×	ď	0 09	1 87	y 0	0.5
Western Colorado	2.0	3.31	7.4	7.4	5.4	1.42	-8. 0.5	-8.	7.4	1.93	2.3	2.3
SW. Idaho-E. Oregon	2.8	3.33	7.1-	7.1-	12.7	1.43	6.4-	6.4-	15.6	1.77	-9:9	-9:9
Great Basin	16.6	3.32	0.0	0.0	62.3	1.39	1.5-	1.5-	78.9	1.80	1.2-	1.2-
Central Arizona	25.4	3.25	8.0	8.0	57.5	1.36	1.4-	1.4-	82.8	1.94	1.3	1.3
New MexW. Texas	27.8	3.34	-0.8 -0.9	-0.0	24.9	1.39	9.7-	9.7-	52.7	2.42	∞ •••	∞
Regional Lotal	0.26	3.30	0.1	0.1	208.3	1.30	-0.7	-5.2	300.3	1.96	-s: -k	-\odots
Pacific												
Pacific Northwest	31.7	3.24	0.1	0.1	154.6	1.32	2.3-	2.3-	186.3	1.65	1.9-	1.9-
Regional Total	31.7	3.24	0.1	0.1	154.6	1.32	2.3-	2.3-	186.3	1.65	1.9-	1.9-
Combined Areas (30) 6/ 9/	1,079.2	3.26	-9:	-9:	2,308.2	1.26	1.4-	1.4-	3,387.4	1.90	1.2-	1.2-
Combined Areas Adj. for Calendar												
Composition 7/	1,096.9	1	2.6	2.6	2,334.6	1	1.2	1.2	3,435.9	1	1.8	1.8
New York-New Jersey	176.7	1	•	ŀ	1777	:	-		2511	2 10	2.1	3.4-

See footnotes on pages 48 and 49.

TABLE 15--PACKAGED SALES OF INDIVIDUAL WHOLE MILK PRODUCTS AND FAT-REDUCED MILK PRODUCTS IN SELECTED MARKETING AREAS DEFINED BY FEDERAL MILK ORDERS, JANUARY 1998 TO DATE, WITH COMPARISON $\underline{1}/\underline{6}/\underline{10}/\underline{10}$

		January	ary			February	ıary			M	March	
Darodrose Moreo		Bf.	Change 1998 from 1997	. 1998 1997		Bf.	Change 1998 from 1997	. 1998 1997		Bf.	Chang	Change 1998 from 1997
FIOUNCE INVINC	Sales	con- tent	Month	Year to date	Sales	con-	Month	Year to date	Sales	con- tent	Month	Year to date
Whole Milk Products <u>2</u> / Whole Milk Flavored Whole Milk Products	Mil. 1b. 1,086 1,039 47	3.25 3.24 3.46	Percent 3.1- 3.4- 4.5	3.1- 3.4- 4.5	Mil. lb. 966 922 44	3.27 3.26 3.53	Percent 0.9- 1.4-	2.1- 2.5- 8.0	Mil. lb. 1,059 1,010 49	3.26 3.25 3.42	Percent 0.3 0.0 8.6	1.3- 1.7- 8.2
Fat-Reduced Milk Products 3/ Reduced Fat Milk (2%) Lowfat Milk (1%) Fat-Free Milk (8kim) Flavored Fat-Reduced Milk Products Buttermilk	2,342 1,139 372 594 184	1.26 1.97 0.99 0.15 1.04	1.4- 3.3- 2.3- 0.7 7.6	1.4- 3.3- 2.3- 0.7 7.6 3.3-	2,100 1,014 328 540 169 39	1.25 1.97 0.99 0.15 1.03	0.5- 2.0- 2.0- 2.6 3.1	1.0- 2.7- 2.2- 1.6 5.4 2.9-	2,295 1,114 358 588 183 42	1.25 1.96 0.99 0.14 1.05	1.2 0.6- 0.5 1.7 16.1	0.2- 2.0- 1.3- 1.6 8.8
Total Fluid Milk Products	3,428	1.89	1.9-	1.9-	3,066	1.89	-9.0	1.3-	3,354	1.89	6.0	-9:0
Total Adjusted for Calendar Composition 1/ Product Name	3,376	1.89 April	2.4- ii	2.4-	3,066	1.89 Mav	-9·0	1.5-	3,379	1.89 June	0.5- e	-8:0
Whole Milk Products <u>2</u> / Whole Milk Flavored Whole Milk Products	1,015 964 50	3.26 3.25 3.47	1.4-1.9-7.8	1.3-	1,022 971 51	3.26 3.25 3.46	3.6- 4.1- 7.7	1.8- 2.2- 8.0	1,031 974 57	3.26 3.25 3.49	4.5 3.3 29.9	0.8- 1.3- 11.6
Fat-Reduced Milk Products 3/ Reduced Fat Milk (2%) Lowfat Milk (1%) Fat-Free Milk (Skim) Flavored Fat-Reduced Milk Products Buttermilk	2,173 1,053 344 559 166 41	1.25 1.96 0.99 0.15 1.05	2.2.2.1.2.2.4.9.4.9.4.9.4.9.4.9.4.9.9.9.4.9.9.9.4.9.9.9.4.9.9.9.4.9.9.9.9.4.9	0.7- 2.2- 1.3- 1.1 5.2	2,178 1,051 347 564 163	1.25 1.97 0.99 0.15 1.05	3.9- 2.3- 2.0- 1.6- 5.3-	1.4- 2.9- 1.5- 0.5 3.9	2,035 1,029 325 549 77 43	1.27 1.97 0.99 0.15 1.19	3.1 1.6 3.1 3.9 15.5	0.7- 2.2- 0.8- 1.0 4.8
Total Fluid Milk Products	3,187	1.89	2.0-	-6.0	3,199	1.89	3.8-	1.5-	3,066	1.94	3.5	0.7-
Total Adjusted for Calendar Composition 7/	3,185	1.89	1.8-	1.1-	3,245	1.89	1.0-	1.0-	3,024	1.94	0.2	0.8- CONTINUED

See footnotes on pages 48 and 49.

TABLE 15--PACKAGED SALES OF INDIVIDUAL WHOLE MILK PRODUCTS AND FAT-REDUCED MILK, PRODUCTS IN SELECTED MARKETING AREAS

DEFINED BY FEDERAL MILK ORDERS, JANUARY 1998 TO DATE, WITH COMPARISONS 1 /6/ 10/-CONT.

		July	y			August	ust			Sept	September	
Decknot Name		Bf.	Change 1998 from 1997	1998 1997		Bf.	Change 1998 from 1997	s 1998 1997		Bf.	Chang	Change 1998 from 1997
בוסחתכן זאמוונכ	Sales	con- tent	Month	Year to date	Sales	con-	Month	Year to date	Sales	con-	Month	Year to date
Whole Milk Products <u>2/</u> Whole Milk Flavored Whole Milk Products	Mil. lb. 1,075 1,019 55	3.26 3.25 3.45	Percent 2.2 1.8	0.3- 0.9- 11.6	Mil. lb. 1,069 1,012 57	3.26 3.25 3.45	Percent 0.1 0.6-14.2	0.3- 0.8- 11.9	Mil. lb. 1,052 995 56	3.25 3.24 3.40	<u>Percent</u> 0.4 0.3-	0.2- 0.8- 12.1
Fat-Reduced Milk Products 3/ Reduced Fat Milk (2%) Lowfat Milk (1%) Fat-Free Milk (Skim) Flavored Fat-Reduced Milk Products Buttermilk	2,082 1,060 344 561 61	1.28 1.97 1.02 0.15 1.26 1.11	1.1 1.1- 5.4 1.8 8.3 0.9	0.5- 2.1- 0.1 1.1 5.0 0.7-	2,160 1,083 344 569 1111	1.27 1.97 0.99 0.15 1.13	0.1- 1.5- 0.9 0.6- 14.6	0.4- 2.0- 0.2 0.9 5.9 0.9-	2,248 1,079 356 567 193 40	1.26 1.97 0.99 0.15 1.05	1.4- 2.5- 0.8 1.5- 0.8 5.1-	0.5- 2.1- 0.2 0.6 5.1 1.4-
Total Fluid Milk Products	3,157	1.95	1.5	0.4-	3,229	1.93	0	0.4-	3,299	1.89	-6.0	0.4-
Total Adjusted for Calendar Composition 7/	3,122	1.95	1.0	-9.0	3,282	1.93	0.2	0.5-	3,291	1.89	0.3	-4.0
Whole Milk Products 2/ Whole Milk Flavored Whole Milk Products	1,107 1,046 61	3.26 3.25 3.25	1.2 0.5 13.4	0.1- 0.6- 12.3	1,032 974 59	3.25 3.25 3.25 3.26	0.8 0.4- 27.6	0 0.6- 13.6	1,101 1,043 58	3.26 3.26 3.26 3.27	1.0 0.1 22.7	0.1 0.6- 14.4
Fat-Reduced Milk Products 3/ Reduced Fat Milk (2%) Lowfat Milk (1%) Fat-Free Milk (Skim) Flavored Fat-Reduced Milk Products Buttermilk	2,365 1,138 374 593 205	1.26 1.97 0.99 0.15 1.05	0.4 0.7- 1.1 1.4 3.8 4.1-	0.4- 1.9- 0.3 0.7 4.9 1.7-	2,197 1,065 346 552 178 44	1.26 1.97 0.99 0.15 1.07	0.5 0.5- 1.7 0.2- 6.6	0.4- 1.8- 0.4 0.6 5.1 1.6-	2,268 1,130 357 568 155 45	1.28 1.97 0.99 0.15 1.11	0.1 0.3 0.4 0.3 2.3-	0.3- 1.7- 0.4 0.6 4.7
Total Fluid Milk Products	3,473	1.90	0.7	0.3-	3,229	1.90	9.0	0.2-	3,369	1.93	0.4	0.2
Total Adjusted for Calendar Composition 7/	3,421	1.90	0.2	0.3-	3,290	1.90	0.1-	0.3-	3,350	1.93	6.0	0.2-

See footnotes on pages 48 and 49.

TABLE 16--PACKAGED SALES OF INDIVIDUAL WHOLE MILK PRODUCTS AND FAT-REDUCED MILK PRODUCTS IN SELECTED MARKETING AREAS DEFINED BY FEDERAL MILK ORDERS, JANUARY 1999 TO DATE, WITH COMPARISONS $\underline{1}/\underline{6}/\underline{10}/$

			renigaly	ary			Z.	March
Bf.	Change 1999 from 1998		Bf.	Change 1999 from 1998	1999 998		Bf.	Change 1999 from 1998
1	Year Month to date	Sales	con- tent	Month	Year to date	Sales	con- tent	Month to date
Mil. 1b. Per	Percent	Mil. lb.		Percent		Mil. lb.		Percent
3.26								
3.26	-6.0 -6.0							
1.26								
1.97								
365 0.99	2.0- 2.0-							
0.15								
1.03								
1.90								
00 1 372 1	d							
Total Fluid Milk Products Total Adjusted for Calendar Composition 7/ 3,376 1.90	1.2- 1.2- 1.8							

See footnotes on pages 48 and 49.

TABLE 17--PACKAGED SALES OF WHOLE MILK PRODUCTS, FAT-REDUCED MILK PRODUCTS, MILK AND CREAM MIXTURES, CREAM PRODUCTS, AND TOTAL FLUID PRODUCTS BY HANDLERS REGULATED UNDER FEDERAL MILK ORDERS, GROUPED BY REGION, DECEMBER 1998, WITH COMPARISONS 11/

	Whol	Whole milk products 2/	lucts <u>2</u> /	Fa	Fat-reduced milk products 3/	nilk /	Milk a	Milk and cream mixtures	mixtures	Cre	Cream products 13/	s <u>13</u> /	Total	Total fluid products 14/	ıcts <u>14</u> /
Region <u>12</u> /	Sales	Bf. Con- tent	Change 1998 from 1997	Sales	Bf. Con- tent	Change 1998 from 1997	Sales	Bf. Con-	Change 1998 from 1997	Sales	Bf. Con- tent	Change 1998 from 1997 15/	Sales	Bf. Con- tent	Change 1998 from 1997 15/
	Wil.	Per	Percent	Mil.	Per	Percent	Mil.	Pe	Percent] 	Percent	M al	Pe	Percent
North Atlantic	160	3.30	3.8-	588	1.12	2.4-	8.1	11.0	5.2-	10.7	22.0	8.9	484	2.59	2.8-
Southeastern	371	3.26	1.4	465	1.24	2.9-	8.2	14.9	7.0	7.8	21.0	9.0	867	2.48	1.2-
East North Central	261	3.23	1.6	754	1.37	0.5	12.8	11.0	1.0	30.0	20.1	9.4	1,101	2.53	0.8
West North Central	42	3.27	1.7	267	1.15	1.5	3.5	10.8	2.6	9.8	22.4	12.8-	327	2.14	1.0
West South Central	180	3.29	9.9	208	1.31	2.7	3.4	11.0	14.8-	4.6	27.8	41.5-	403	2.65	3.0
Mountain	22	3.29	4.4	211	1.39	3.3	5.8	10.7	29.9-	11.1	21.9	29.4	334	2.88	3.1
Pacific	30	3.29	3.4	151	1.35	.3-	3.6	10.8	4.1	7.5	20.3	7.2	208	2.58	0.5
Total of Regions	1,139	3.27	1.9	2,344	1.28	0.4	45.4	11.6	7.9-	80.1	21.4	2.5	3,725	2.54	9.0

See footnotes on pages 48 and 49.

TABLE 18--PACKAGED SALES OF WHOLE MILK PRODUCTS, FAT-REDUCED MILK PRODUCTS, MILK AND CREAM MIXTURES, CREAM PRODUCTS, AND TOTAL FLUID PRODUCTS BY HANDLERS REGULATED UNDER FEDERAL MILK ORDERS, GROUPED BY REGION, JANUARY 1999, WITH COMPARISONS 11/

	Whol	Whole milk products <u>2</u> /	lucts 2/	Fa	Fat-reduced milk products 3/	nilk /	Milk aı	Milk and cream mixtures	mixtures	Cre	Cream products 13/	s <u>13</u> /	Total	Total fluid products 14/	cts <u>14</u> /
Region <u>12</u> /	Sales	Bf. Con-	Change 1999 from 1998 15/	Sales	Bf. Con- tent	Change 1999 from 1998	Sales	Bf. Con- tent	Change 1999 from 1998	Sales	Bf. Con- tent	Change 1999 from 1998	Sales	Bf. Con- tent	Change 1999 from 1998 15/
	Mil	Pe	<u>Percent</u>	Mil. Ib.	Per	<u>Percent</u>	Mil. 1b.	굅	Percent	Mil.	Per	Percent	Mil. <u>lb.</u>	Per	Percent
North Atlantic	157	3.30	2.9-	287	1.11	2.6-	6.9	10.8	9.1-	9.8	22.3	1.3	467	2.39	2.9-
Southeastern	362	3.27	-9:	484	1.23	3.4-	7.0	14.5	33.4	5.7	23.0	9.8	862	2.34	2.2-
East North Central	260	3.23	4.0	775	1.36	0.4	10.7	10.9	7.4	20.5	18.7	6.5	1,109	2.25	1.3
West North Central	40	3.27	2.2-	263	1.13	2.1-	2.8	10.7	2.8-	5.9	21.8	17.4-	315	1.88	2.5-
West South Central	178	3.28	1.3-	223	1.29	3.8-	3.1	11.0	-8.9	8.8	23.9	23.2-	410	2.49	3.4-
Mountain	91	3.29	0.1	215	1.37	1.5-	8.2	11.2	14.4	6.2	22.6	-6.	325	2.55	.7-
Pacific	30	3.20	1.0	148	1.32	2.4-	3.1	10.8	3.2	5.3	18.6	1.1-	197	2.20	1.1-
Total of Regions	1,119	3.27	0.0	2,394	1.27	1.8-	41.8	11.5	6.7	57.0	20.8	1.7-	3,686	2.31	1.2-

See footnotes on pages 48 and 49.

TABLE 19--PACKAGED SALES OF MILK AND CREAM MIXTURES, CREAM PRODUCTS, YOGURT, AND EGGNOG BY HANDLERS REGULATED UNDER FEDERAL MILK ORDER 19--PACKAGED SALES OF MILK AND CREAM MIXTURES, JANUARY 1998 TO DATE, WITH COMPARISONS 11/12/

		January	ıary			Febr	February			Σ	March	
Deadras Marra		Bf.	Change 1998 from 1997 <u>15</u> /	Change 1998 rom 1997 <u>15</u> /		Bf.	Change 1998 from 1997 <u>15</u> /	Change 1998 rom 1997 <u>15</u> /		Bf.	Chang from 1	Change 1998 from 1997 <u>15</u> /
Froduct Ivaine	Sales	con- tent	Month	Year to date	Sales	con-	Month	Year to date	Sales	con-	Month	Year to date
	1000 lb.		Percent		1000 lb.		Percent		1000 lb.		Percent	
Milk and Cream Mixtures	39,127	11.0	2.4	2.4	36,736	11.2	9.0	1.5	44,674	11.7	2.2	1.8
Total Cream Products	57,971	21.2	1.7	1.7	54,117	22.2	5.5	3.5	65,336	21.2	3.7	3.6
Light Cream	6,434	18.3	15.1	15.1	6,089	18.3	10.9	13.0	6,883	18.5	11.8	12.6
Heavy Cream	15,516	36.9	2.5-	2.5-	16,717	37.5	7.9	2.7	18,594	37.2	5.2-	0.3-
Sour Cream	36,021	15.0	1.3	1.3	31,312	14.9	3.2	2.1	39,858	14.1	6.9	3.9
Yogurt	79,174	1.9	12.1-	12.1-	78,278	2.0	12.9-	12.5-	95,171	1.9	1.1	7.8-
Eggnog	4	9.6	1	* *	0	!	1	1	172	0.9	1	1
Product Name		April	Ŧ			May	ay			June	<u>ə</u>	
Milk and Cream Mixtures	41,851	11.1	6.1	2.9	42,168	11.1	6.7-	0.8	42,556	11.6	8.2	1.9
Total Cream Products	65.254	22.0	10.9	5.4	66.087	22.1	1.4	4.5	70.193	21.5	9,6	5.4
Light Cream	6,934	18.4	13.6	12.8	7,169	18.5	5.9	11.3	7,904	18.4	15.8	12.1
Heavy Cream	20,316	37.1	15.2	3.5	20,412	37.2	4.2	3.7	20,803	36.9	10.2	4.8
Sour Cream	38,004	14.6	8.3	5.0	38,507	14.8	-8.0	3.7	41,486	14.5	7.8	4.4
Yogurt	86,252	1.8	5.9-	7.4-	86,278	1.9	10.6	4.2-	91,572	1.5	1.3	3.3-
Борпор	136	6.1	1	!	0	1		!	0	1	1	:

See footnotes on pages 48 and 49.

TABLE 19--PACKAGED SALES OF MILK AND CREAM MIXTURES, CREAM PRODUCTS, YOGURT, AND EGGNOG BY HANDLERS REGULATED UNDER FEDERAL MILK ORDERS, JANUARY 1998 TO DATE, WITH COMPARISONS 11/12/--CONT.

		July	y			Aug	August		3	Septe	September	
Product Name		Bf.	Change 1998 from 1997 <u>15</u>	1998 197 <u>15</u> /		Bf.	Change 1998 from 1997 15/	Change 1998 rom 1997 <u>15</u> /		Bf.	Chang from 1	Change 1998 from 1997 <u>15</u> /
	Sales	con-	Month	Year to date	Sales	con- tent	Month	Year to date	Sales	con- tent	Month	Year to date
	100 lb.		Percent		1000 lb.		Percent		1000 lb.		Percent	
Milk and Cream Mixtures	42,383	11.1	3.6	2.2	41,211	11.1	-9.0	1.8	40,378	11.1	1.1-	1.5
Total Cream Products	68,161	22.1	4.4	5.2	64,785	21.7	1.4	4.8	63,455	21.6	0.4	4.3
Light Cream	7,714	18.4	7.7	11.4	7,516	18.3	6.7	10.8	8,250	18.2	19.7	11.8
Heavy Cream	21,049	36.6	8.3	5.3	19,049	36.8	-9.0	4.5	18,641	36.2	2.0-	3.8
Sour Cream	39,398	15.1	1.9	4.1	38,220	14.9	1.3	3.7	36,565	14.8	2.3-	3.0
Yogurt	88,653	1.6	4.0	3.4	89,893	1.5	4.5-	3.5-	88,677	1.6	-9.6	4.3-
Eggnog	0	;	:	l	11	8.4	:	-	119	7.0	*	1
Product Name		October				November)er			December	mber	
Milk and Cream Mixtures	41,946	11.0	5.8-	0.7	44,915	11.2	3.2-	0.3	45,428	11.6	7.9-	0.5-
Total Cream Products	67,377	21.9	-8.0	3.7	74,863	22.1	2.3-	3.1	80,103	21.4	2.5	3.0
Light Cream	8,286	18.4	12.8	11.9	7,653	18.3	7.3	11.5	8,635	18.5	20.0	12.2
Heavy Cream	21,371	34.9	5.4	4.0	24,164	36.5	1.7-	3.3	25,882	34.1	12.1	4.2
Sour Cream	37,720	15.2	6.5-	2.0	43,046	14.6	4.1-	1.4	45,587	14.7	5.1-	0.7
Yogurt	84,574	5.1	0	3.9-	82,741	1.5	23.5	2.0-	64,653	1.9	9.2	2.5-
Eggnog	5,608	7.5	1	I	32,967	8.9	1	1	51,193	7.0	1	1

See footnotes on pages 48 and 49.

TABLE 20-MILK, SKIM MILK, AND CREAM UTILIZED IN THE MANUFACTURE OF DAIRY PRODUCTS BY HANDLERS REGULATED UNDER FEDERAL MILK, ORDERS, GROUPED BY REGION, DECEMBER 1998, WITH COMPARISONS 16/

		Butter			Total cheese	Şe Şe	Fre	Frozen desserts	erts	Cot	Cottage cheese	se	Nor	Nonfat dry milk	ik	Tot	Total products 17/	ts <u>17</u> /
Region <u>12</u> /	Total	Bf. con- tent	Change 1998 from 1997	Total	Bf. con- tent	Change 1998 from 1997	Total	Bf. con- tent	Change 1998 from 1997 18/	Total	Bf. con-	Change 1998 from 1997 18/	Total	Bf. con- tent	Change 1998 from 1997	Total	Bf. con- tent	Change 1998 from 1997
	Mil. lb.	Pe	<u>Percent</u>	Mil.	Per	Percent	<u>Mil.</u>	Per	<u>Percent</u>	Mil. lb.	Percent	<u>sent</u>	Mil.	Percent	<u>ent</u>	Mil.	Fe	Percent
North Atlantic	19	44.0	4.6	165	4.31	6.7	99	8.2	9.8-	12	2.71	35.8	135	90.0	-6.9	457	5.10	1.8
Southeastern	22	19.6	89.3-	12	4.24	55.0-	65	9.0	8.1	19	2.95	7.1	19/	1	ì	190	8.08	12.3-
E. No. Central <u>20</u> /	41	39.0	-2.9	452	3.90	58.1-	88	6.6	8.5	92	1.49	14.6-	88	0.11	95.3	816	5.46	33.0-
W. No. Central 20/	14	36.1	21.5	103	5.07	84.4-	28	12.8	12.8	19/	1	l	96	0.02	32.4	274	5.45	65.1-
W. So. Central <u>20</u> /	14	40.1	37.5	82	5.08	53.8-	42	7.0	16.4-	15	0.82	22.3	19/	1	1	242	80.9	19.5-
Mountain 20/	6	42.3	14.7	266	4.37	35.2-	16	10.2	2.3-	23	0.54	5.1	19/	}	ł	423	4.22	24.5-
Pacific	15	15 41.8	10.3-	182	4.23	26.1	10	14.3	4.1	19	0.82	8.1	19/	1	1	365	4.40	6.4
Total of Regions	134		36.9 1.1 1,265	1,265	4.37	53.6-	315	9.4	0.2	197	1.42	4.7-	587	0.10	17.9	2,869	5.31	30.3-

See footnotes on pages 48 and 49.

TABLE 21--MILK, SKIM MILK, AND CREAM UTILIZED IN THE MANUFACTURE OF DAIRY PRODUCTS BY HANDLERS REGULATED UNDER FEDERAL MILK, ORDERS, GROUPED BY REGION, JANUARY 1999, WITH COMPARISONS 16/

		Butter			Total cheese	se	Fre	Frozen desserts	erts	Cot	Cottage cheese	se	Nor	Nonfat dry milk	ıilk	Tot	Total products 17/	ts <u>17</u> /
Region <u>12</u> /	Total	Bf. con- tent	Change 1999 from 1998 18/	Total	Bf. con- tent	Change 1999 from 1998 18/	Total	Bf. con- tent	Change 1999 from 1998 18/	Total	Bf. con- tent	Change 1999 from 1998 18/	Total	Bf. con- tent	Change 1999 from 1998 18/	Total	Bf. con- tent	Change 1999 from 1998 18/
									ì									
	Mil. lb.	Pe	Percent	Mil.	Per	<u>Percent</u>	<u>Mil.</u>	Per	Percent	Mil. lb.	Percent	cent	Mii.	Percent	ent	Mil.	Per	Percent
North Atlantic	23	45.1	13.7	158	4.50	13.4	75	8.8	11.6-	12	1.54	5.3	171	90.0	4.5	495	5.28	3.1
Southeastern	22	21.9	32.7-	10	8.51	0.7	62	9.8	9.4-	22	2.49	2.1	13	0.29	14.4-	189	8.73	7.8-
E. No. Central <u>21</u> /	45	38.5	2.3 1,268	1,268	3.93	17.7	81	10.3	14.1-	94	1.92	3.8-	99	0.12	68.4	1,695	4.89	6.9
W. No. Central <u>21</u> /	18	35.3	26.0	1,069	3.92	12.2	23	17.5	3.0	19/	ì	l	66	0.07	25.3	1,249	4.26	11.9
W. So. Central <u>21</u> /	18	38.5	28.8	186	4.24	3.8	-48	8.9	20.4-	15	0.81	17.1-	33	0.35	199.8	347	5.64	2.1
Mountain 21/	12	42.2	23.4	417	4.24	9.9	-21	12.4	35.1	25	0.55	16.4	19/			628	4.18	1.9
Pacific	22	39.0	22.0	146	4.14	11.6	-11	14.8	12.7	19	0.63	17.8-	19/	1		378	4.48	5.8
Total of Regions	160	160 37.2	8.5 3,255	3,255	4.07	8.7	322	10.2	7.7-	201	1.50	6.3	889	0.09	33.9	4,980	4.85	5.3

See footnotes on pages 48 and 49.

TABLE 22--PERCENTAGE OF WHOLE MILK EQUIVALENT (MILKFAT BASIS) USED IN THE PRODUCTION OF MANUFACTURED DAIRY PRODUCTS, IN FEDERAL ORDER MARKETS, JANUARY 1998 TO DATE, WITH COMPARISONS 1/

Manufactured dairy	January	ıry	February	lary	March	ch	April	ij	May		June	e
product	1998	1997	1998	1997	1998	1997	1998	1997	1998	1997	1998	1997
						Percent	sent					
Butter	23.9	24.9	22.4	30.2	20.9	21.1	20.5	21.3	17.1	18.0	16.0	14.1
Cheese	53.1	53.2	54.9	40.2	55.2	53.7	55.1	55.6	58.5	57.3	43.1	59.0
Frozen desserts	15.4	15.2	15.9	21.1	17.0	17.7	17.9	16.4	17.7	18.0	30.7	20.1
Cottage cheese	1.2	1.1	1.4	1.4	1.5	1.2	1.4	1.3	1.4	1.3	1.8	1.4
All other 2/	6.4	5.6	5.4	7.1	5.4	6.3	5.1	5.4	5.3	5.4	8.4	5.4
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0
Manufactured dairy	ylul		August	151	Sertember	nher	October	ber	November	her	December	nber
product	1998	1997	1998	1997	1998	1997	1998	1997	1998	1997	1998	1997
						Percent	<u>sent</u>					
Butter	19.6	12.6	22.1	17.8	17.4	22.7	24.7	18.6	31.3	19.2	32.5	23.4
Cheese	32.3	58.1	32.0	43.7	54.6	38.9	43.5	52.2	35.9	57.9	36.3	55.0
Frozen desserts	36.5	21.9	33.8	28.8	19.5	28.0	21.7	20.5	21.1	15.2	19.4	13.7
Cottage cheese	2.0	1.4	2.0	1.7	1.5	1.9	1.7	1.4	2.0	1.4	1.8	1.2
All other 2/	9.6	0.9	10.1	8.0	7.0	8.5	8.4	7.3	9.7	6.3	10.0	6.7
Total	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0	100.0

1/ Data represent whole milk equivalent based on milkfat content. Includes producer milk and other source milk used to produce manufactured dairy products in regulated pool plants as well as milk diverted and shipped to non-order plants for processing. Some of the data are partially estimated. Excludes New York-New Jersey.

2/ Milk, skim milk, and cream used in other manufactured dairy products, e.g. evaporated milk, condensed milk, dried products, and aerated cream; and milk, skim milk, and cream used in other food products as well as used in animal feed, dumped or spilled, plant loss, and miscellaneous products.

FOOTNOTES FOR TABLES 2 AND 3.

- 1/ Prices are for milk of 3.5 percent butterfat content and for the major city in the marketing area. All averages are weighted.
- 2/ For those markets which have multiple component pricing (see table 23), the prices represent the Basic Formula Price plus the weighted average differential price or producer price differential computed under the order.
- 3/ For the 21 marketing areas where it currently is in effect, this price is applicable to producer milk used to produce nonfat dry milk.
- $\underline{4}$ / Zone 1 (Boston). Price at 201-210 mile zone: Class I and blend, 72 cents less. Class I and blend price at Hartford, 10 cents less.
- <u>5</u>/ New York metropolitan area. Price at 201-210 mile zone: Class I and blend, 72 cents less; Class II and Class III, 8 cents less.
- <u>6</u>/ Philadelphia, Baltimore, and Washington, D.C. Price excludes a 6-cent direct delivery differential applicable to milk delivered to the Philadelphia area.
 - 7/ Charlotte.
- <u>8</u>/ Zone 7 (Atlanta and Birmingham). Class I and blend price at Little Rock, 31 cents less; and at New Orleans, 57 cents more.
- 9/ Figures exclude, where applicable, Upper Florida, Southeastern Florida, Eastern South Dakota, and Western Colorado; some of the data used to weight the monthly prices are restricted. The individual Class I and blend price data for these markets are shown below. Class II and Class III prices are the same as the prices shown in the table for Carolina. Figures also exclude Greater Kansas City. Some of the data used to weight the monthly prices are restricted.

		Jan	uary			Febru	ıary	
Marketing area	Cla	ss I	Bl	end	Clas	s I	Ble	end
	1999	1998	1999	1998	1999	1998	1999	1998
				Γ	Oollars	-		
Upper Florida	20.42	16.54	20.05	16.33	20.92	16.87	20.92	16.79
S. E. Florida	21.02	17.14	20.56	16.81	21.52	17.47	21.11	17.11
E. S. Dakota	18.34	14.46	17.05	13.75	18.84	14.79	13.84	13.95
W. Colorado	18.84	14.96	18.53	14.64	19.34	15.29	18.22	15.00

FOOTNOTES FOR TABLES 2 AND 3. -CONTINUED

- 10/ Zone II (Marquette).
- 11/ Individual handler pool. Blend prices are weighted average of all handlers.
- 12/ Zone 1 (Detroit). Price excludes a 10-cent direct delivery differential applicable to milk delivered to the Detroit metropolitan area.
- 13/ Cleveland and Pittsburgh.
- 14/ Zone 3 (Columbus). Class I and blend price at Cincinnati (Zone 4) 7 cents more.
- 15/ Indianapolis.
- 16/ Zone 1 Chicago). Class I and blend price at Milwaukee (Zone 4) 9 cents less.
- 17/ Peoria.
- 18/ Base Zone (Alton). Class I and blend price at Carbondale (Southern Zone) and at St. Louis 9 cents more.
- 19/ Zone 1 (Minneapolis).
- 20/ Zone 1 (Des Moines).
- 21/ Zone 1 (Omaha).
- 22/ Kansas City and Topeka.
- 23/ Zone 1 (Oklahoma City). Class I and blend price at Springfield, Mo., 58 cents less.
- 24/ Zone 1 (Dallas). Class I and blend price at Houston, 54 cents more.
- 25/ Denver.
- 26/ Boise, Idaho.
- 27/ Salt Lake City, Utah.
- 38/ Phoenix.
- 29/ Albuquerque, Santa Fe, and El Paso.
- 30/ Zone 1 (Seattle and Portland).

FOOTNOTES FOR TABLES 4 THROUGH 11.

- 1/ Prices are for milk of 3.5 percent butterfat content and for the major city in the marketing area. See footnotes on pages 46 and 47 for location at which price is reported. All averages are weighted.
- 2/ Figures exclude, where applicable, Upper Florida, Southeastern Florida, Eastern South Dakota, and Western Colorado; some of the data used to weight the monthly prices are restricted.
- <u>3</u>/ Figures exclude price data for Greater Kansas City and receipts and utilization data for Greater Kansas City-Eastern South Dakota, where applicable. See <u>8</u>/.
- 4/ Data are a summation or weighted average of the Tampa Bay, Upper Florida, and Southeastern Florida marketing areas. The data are combined in order to mask the data for Upper Florida, which are restricted.
- 5/ In these marketing areas, milk was not pooled in 1999 due to disadvantageous price situations. See 4/ on page 5.
- $\underline{6}$ / In these marketing areas, milk was not pooled in 1998 due to disadvantageous price situations and/or qualification circumstances. See $\underline{4}$ / on page 5.
- 7/ The data for these marketing areas are combined in order to mask restricted data. See table 1 for complete marketing area name.
- <u>8</u>/ Class I and Class II receipts and utilization data are restricted for the Greater Kansas City-Eastern South Dakota area.

FOOTNOTES FOR TABLES 12 THROUGH 21.

- 1/ In-area sales include total sales in each of the areas by handlers regulated under the respective order, by handlers regulated under other orders, by partially regulated handlers, and by producer-handlers. Sales routes of handlers may extend outside defined marketing areas; therefore, some handlers' in-area sales are partially estimated.
 - 2/ Plain, flavored, and miscellaneous whole milk products.
 - 3/ Plain, fortified, flavored, and miscellaneous fat-reduced milk products, and buttermilk.
 - 4/ Effective October 1, 1997, the order regulating this marketing area was terminated.
- <u>5</u>/ Comparable markets are markets where the orders were in effect the entire period 1997-98, and for which the data were not affected significantly by marketing area changes. Excludes Tennessee Valley; see <u>4</u>/.
 - 6/ Excludes New York-New Jersey.
 - 7/ Figures adjusted to eliminate variation in data due to calendar composition.
 - $\underline{8}$ / Data for 1997 are for January through September; see $\underline{4}$ /.
- 9/ Comparable markets are markets where the orders were in effect the entire period 1998-99, and for which the data were not affected significantly by marketing area changes. All markets are comparable.
- $\underline{10}$ / See table 12 for marketing areas included; excludes New York-New Jersey and Tennessee Valley.
- 11/ Total packaged disposition, in and out of the marketing area, by regulated handlers. Besides receipts from producers, these dispositions also may include receipts from other Federal order plants and/or receipts from other sources. However, sour cream, yogurt, and eggnog are reported on a used-to-produce basis.

FOOTNOTES FOR TABLES 12 THROUGH 21.-CONTINUED

- 12/ See table 12 for marketing areas included; excludes New York-New Jersey and Tennessee Valley.
- 13/ Light, heavy, and sour cream, and cream dips.
- 14/ In addition to listed fluid milk and cream products, includes yogurt and eggnog.
- 15/ Percent changes are based on the same group of comparable markets-markets where the orders were in effect the entire applicable two year period. For the 1997-98 period, excludes Carolina, Tennessee Valley, Southeast, and Louisville-Lexington-Evansville. For the 1998-99 period, all markets are comparable.
- 16/ Includes producer milk and other source milk used to produce manufactured dairy products in regulated pool plants, as well as milk diverted and shipped to non-order plants for processing. Other source milk at regulated plants includes bulk transfers and diversions from other Federal orders, and receipts from unregulated sources. Some of the data are preliminary and partially estimated.
- 17/ In addition to listed manufactured products, includes milk, skim milk, and cream used in other manufactured dairy products, e.g., evaporated milk, condensed milk, dried products, aerated cream, and skim milk equivalent used to fortify fluid milk products; milk, skim milk, and cream used in other food products as well as used in animal feed, dumped or spilled, plant loss, and miscellaneous products.
- 18/ Percent changes over the previous year are based on the same group of comparable markets--markets where the orders were in effect the entire applicable two year period, and for which the data were not affected significantly by marketing area changes. For the 1997-98 period, excludes Carolina, Tennessee Valley, and Southeast; see 4/. For the 1998-99 period, all markets are comparable. These changes are based on pounds of butterfat, except for nonfat dry milk which are based on pounds of skim milk.
- 19/ Restricted.
- 20/ The marketing areas in which milk was not pooled in December 1997 and 1998 due to disadvantageous price situations were in these regions. See 2/ on page 7.
- 19/ The marketing areas in which milk was not pooled in January 1998 and 1999 due to disadvantageous price situations were in these regions. See 2/ on page 7.

TABLE 23--FEDERAL MILK ORDER MILK COMPONENT PRICES AND TESTS IN VARIOUS MARKETING AREAS, JANUARY AND FEBRUARY 1/

Weighted Average Bu			Butterfat Price	Price	Producer Nonfat Milk Solids/Other	r Nonfat 1s/Other	Produce	roducer Protein	Producer Nonfat Milk Solids/Othe	Producer Nonfat Milk Solids/Other	Producer Protein	Protein
					Solids Price 2/	ce <u>2</u> /	Pr	Price	Solids Test 2/	Test 2/	Test	Ţ
Jan Feb Jan Feb	Jan	-	Feb		Jan	Feb	Jan	Feb	Jan	Feb	Jan	Feb
Dol. per cwt.				i	Dol. per lb.	per lb				<u>Percent</u>	<u>ent</u>	
0.91 5.73 1.4853 1.4445	3 1.4853 1	_	1.444	5	1.2600	0.6000	I	1	8.77	8.74	1	I
0.96 5.71 1.4848 1.4441	1.4848 1	1	1.444	-	!	-	2.3225	1.7173	1	1	3.28	3.25
1.44 5.48 1.4800 1.4400	3 1.4800 1	_	1.44	00	1	1	3.3700	1.6100	1	1 1	3.28	3.25
1.59 6.52 1.4800 1.4400	_	_	1.44	90	1	-	3.3500	1.6000	;		3.33	3.29
1.50 7.03 1.4800 1.4400	1.4800 1	1	1.44	8	i	-	3.3400	1.6000	1	1	3.32	3.26
0.50 1.88 1.4848 1.4441	1.4848		1.44	41	0.6427	0.0000	2.3225	1.6072	5.47	5.48	3.23	3.18
0.23 1.40 1.4848 1.4441			1.4	141	0.6427	0.000	2.3225	1.6072	5.51	5.53	3.25	3.21
0.78 3.57 1.4848 1.4441	_	_	1.44	41	0.6427	0.0000	2.3225	1.6072	/9	/9	/9	/9
0.39 2.77 1.4848 1.4441	_	_	1.44	41	0.6427	0.000.0	2.3225	1.6072	5.50	5.52	3.29	3.24
0.01 3.60 1.4848 1.4441	_	_	1.44	41	0.6427	0.0000	2.3225	1.6072	5.52	5.54	3.32	3.26
0.04 0.56 1.4800 1.4400	_	_	1.44	00	1		3.3900	1.6200	i	1	3.31	3.30
1.17 4.46 1.4800 1.4400	_	_	1.44	00	1	-	3.4000	1.6200	;	}	3.26	3.24
0.05 4.20 1.4848 1.4			4	.4441	0.6298	0.0000	2.3225	1.6072	5.49	5.48	3.26	3.27

solids. The Chicago Regional, Upper Midwest, Eastern South Dakota, Iowa, Nebraska-Western Iowa, and Pacific Northwest orders require that producers be paid on the basis of other solids. 3/ Instead of a weighted average differential price, this order calculates a producer price differential. 4/ For this order a fluid carrier price is determined. For 1/ The orders regulating these marketing areas require that producers be paid on the basis of the weighted average differential, the price per pound for butterfat, and either the price per pound for protein, protein and other solids, or nonfat milk solids. 2/ The Middle Atlantic order requires that producers be paid on the basis of nonfat milk January and February, these prices are \$3.76 and \$-0.36 per hundredweight, respectively. 2/ The somatic cell adjustment rates per 1000 somatic cell count for this order for January and February are \$0.00088 and \$0.00065, respectively. 6/ Restricted.

TABLE 24--FACTORS USED IN THE COMPUTATION OF CLASS III-A PRICES IN FEDERAL MILK ORDER MARKETS, JANUARY 1999 TO DATE 1/

		Nonfat Dry Milk Price	Milk Price	Modified Y	Modified Yield Factor 6/	Class III-A Price <u>7</u> /	A Price 7/
Month	Butterfat Differential	Central States <u>2</u> / <u>3</u> /	Western 4/ 5/	Central States <u>3</u> /	Western <u>5</u> /	Central States <u>8</u> / <u>9</u> /	Western <u>8</u> /
	Dollars per 0.1 percent butterfat	Dollars per pound	er pound	Pounds per b	Pounds per hundredweight	Dollars per hundredweight	undredweight
<u>6661</u>							
January	0.137	1.0893	1.0914	8.63	8.63	13.12	13.14
February	0.139	1.0437	1.0392	8.62	8.62	12.78	12.75
March	0.132	1.0239	1.0207	8.61	8.61	12.36	12.33
April	0.095	1.0228	1.0105	8.61	8.60	11.06	10.94
May							
June							
July							
August							
September							
October							
November							
December							

1/ This pricing provision is currently in effect in 21 marketing areas. See table 2 in this report for the affected marketing areas. This price is applicable to producer milk used to produce nonfat dry milk.

2/ "Dairy Market News," AMS.

4/ This price is determined by AMS from combining price series published in "Dairy Market News". 5/ This price series is used in the computation of the modified yield factor and Class III-A Prices in the western marketing areas. See 1/.

3/ This price series is used in the computation of the modified yield factor and Class III-A Prices in all but 3 of the 21 affected marketing areas. See 1/.

6/9 less (0.4 divided by the applicable nonfat dry milk price).
 7/ (Butterfat differential times 35) plus [(applicable nonfat dry milk price less 12.5 cents) times the applicable modified yield factor].
 8/ See table 2 to find the marketing areas that use this nonfat dry milk price series.
 9/ New England, New York-New Jersey, and Middle Atlantic also use a seasonal adjustment in the computation of Class III-A prices.

TABLE 25--PRODUCER DELIVERIES OF MILK USED IN CLASS III-A BY HANDLERS REGULATED UNDER FEDERAL ORDERS, BY SELECTED FEDERAL MILK ORDER MARKETING AREA AND REGION, JANUARY 1999 TO DATE

Federal milk order marketing	January	February	March	April	May	June	July
area 1//Region	1999	1999	1999	1999	1999	1999	1999
				-Thousand pounds			
New England	63,376	61,699					
New York-New Jersey	31,474	27,678					
Southeast	21,182	28,599					
East 2/	254,416	234,619					
Southern Michigan	28.080	41,779					
Indiana	12,443	15,399					
Chicago Regional	21,750	1,467					
Upper Midwest	26,558	3,993					
Midwest 3/	169,544	144,396					
West 4/	336,037	310,613					
All Market Total	759.997	689,628					
Federal milk order marketing	August	September	October	November	December	Year to	Year to
area 1//Region	1999	1999	1999	1999	1999	date 1999	date 1998
				-Thousand pounds			
New England						125,075	139,111
New York-New Jersey						59,152	71,356
Southeast						49,781	41,310
East $2/$						489,035	459,731
Southern Michigan						69,829	47,352
Indiana						27,842	14,902
Chicago Regional						23,217	13,658
Upper Midwest						30,551	44,819
Midwest 3/						313,940	249,835
Month Al						059 545	763 613
W 551 4/						040,030	02,013
All Market Tota						1,449,625	1.473.179

^{1/} The marketing areas shown are the marketing areas for which the data is available and unrestricted.

^{2/} The marketing areas included in this region are shown on table 2 under the North Atlantic and Southeastern regions.

3/ The marketing areas included in this region are shown on table 2 under the East North Central and West North Central regions.

4/ The marketing areas included in this region are shown on table 2 under the West South Central, Mountain, and Pacific regions.

TABLE 26-FACTORS USED IN THE DETERMINATION OF THE BASIC FORMULA PRICE, JANUARY 1999 TO DATE

	m <u>4</u> /	Solids Not Fat	lest			8.66	8.66	8.63
	e Informatic	Protein	lest		<u>Percent</u>	3.30	3.26	3.21
	Fase Month Price Information <u>4</u> /	Butter- fat	Test			3.93	3.91	3.86
	Base]	Price at	lest	Dol. Per	CWI.	17.92	15.28	12.34
	n Minnesota onsin <u>3</u> /	Nonfat Dry	Willik		spunod	2,337	2,916	2,010
	Production in Minnesota and Wisconsin 3/	American	Cneese		Thousand pounds	135,104	128,346	136,143
	Dried Butter- milk <u>1</u> /	Western Area	30% Minimum Protein			0.8158	0.7163	0.6845
le Prices	Nonfat Dry Milk <u>1</u> /	Western Area	Low/Med Heat		p	1.0528	1.0257	1.0009
Dairy Product Wholesale Prices	Cheddar Cheese	National Agricultural Statistics Service 2/	40-lb. Blocks		Dollars per pound	1.7595	1.3010	1.3131
Dairy	. 1/	ercantile nge	Grade A <u>5</u> /			1.3322	1.2253	0.9398
	Butter <u>1</u> /	Chicago Mercantile Exchange	Grade AA			1.4222	1.3153	1.0298
	Basic	Formula Price for the Month			_	Jan.	Feb.	Mar. Apr. May June July Aug Sept. Oct. Nov.

by plants in Minnesota and Wisconsin for the prior month as surveyed by NASS. 5/ Effective June 26, 1998, the Chicago Mercantile Exchange discontinued trading Minnesota and Wisconsin combined for the prior month as first published in "Dairy Products," NASS. 4/ The price paid to producers for manufacturing grade milk 1/ "Dairy Market News," AMS. 2/ A monthly average price is determined by AMS by volume weighting the weekly prices. 3/ The production in the States of on Grade A butter. The Secretary of Agriculture has determined that the Chicago Mercantile Exchange Grade AA butter price less nine cents is equivalent to the discontinued Grade A price, effective June 26. See "Summary of Federal Milk Order Actions, June 1998, Revised" in FMOS-427.

TABLE 27-BASIC FORMULA PRICE, AND RELATED INFORMATION, JANUARY 1999 TO DATE

Butterfat	Differential $\underline{6}/$	Cents per 0.1 percent	13.7 13.9 13.2 9.5	
: itents	Solids Not Fat	-	8.64 8.63 8.62 8.62 8.62	
Basic Formula Price: Milk Component Contents	Protein	<u>Percent</u>	3.26 3.23 3.19 3.18	
Basic F Milk Co	Butterfat		3.88 3.85 3.85 3.84	
Basic Formula Price at Test for Determining	Component Pricing 5/		16.79 10.78 12.08 12.13	
Basic Formul for Dete	Butterfat Differential	spun	16.84 10.83 12.12 12.29	
Basic	Price <u>3</u> /	Dollars per 100 pounds	16.27 10.27 11.62 11.81	
Change in Product	Price Formula 2/	Dollar	-1.08 -4.45 +0.07 -0.05	
Applicable Base	Month Price at 3.5% <u>1</u> /	İ	17.35 14.72 11.86 11.86	
	Month		Jan. Feb. Mar. Apr. May June July Aug. Sept. Oct. Nov.	Average

1/ The applicable base month is the month prior to the month for which the Basic Formula Price (BFP) is announced.

2/ The change in the gross value of milk between the base month and the BFP month as determined by using various product prices, yield factors, and weighting by American cheese and nonfat dry milk production in Minnesota and Wisconsin.

3/ The BFP is the applicable base month price at 3.5% updated by the change in the product price formula. The BFP establishes minimum prices under all rederal milk orders.

4/ This price is determined by adjusting the applicable base month price at test by the change in the product price formula.

5/ This price is determined by adjusting the BFP at 3.5% to the BFP estimated butterfat percent using the current month butterfat differential. This price is used to determine the other solids price in Federal orders that use component pricing.

6/ The differential is determined by the following formula: (.138 X Chicago Mercantile Exchange Grade A butter price) - (.0028 X Basic Formula Price at test for determining the butterfat differential).

HOW FEDERAL MILK ORDER MARKET STATISTICS ARE DEVELOPED AND WHAT THEY MEAN

The statistical data collected under the Federal milk order program make up an important segment of the information needed to administer the orders. These data also provide comprehensive information on milk supplies, utilization, and sales, as well as prices established under the various milk orders.

Statistical Bulletin No. 248, "Federal Milk Order Market Statistics, 1947-56," and annual compilations thereafter contain historical data about Federal milk orders. More current information is available to the public through the bi-monthly, "Federal Milk Order Market Statistics".

WHAT IS A FEDERAL MILK MARKETING ORDER?

A Federal milk marketing order is a regulation issued by the Secretary of Agriculture. Its purpose is to stabilize markets by placing certain requirements on the handling of milk in the area it covers. It is established under the authority of the Agricultural Marketing Agreement Act of 1937, as amended. It requires milk handlers in a marketing area to pay not less than certain minimum class prices established according to how the milk is utilized. These prices are established under the order after a

public hearing at which evidence is received on the supply and demand conditions for milk in the market. A milk order, including the pricing provisions and all other provisions, becomes effective only after approval by dairy farmers. It requires that payments for milk be pooled and paid to individual farmers or cooperative associations of farmers on the basis of a uniform or average price.

WHY ARE FIGURES COLLECTED?

So that a determination can be made as to the amount of milk that handlers use in each price class, handlers are required to file monthly reports showing their receipts of milk from each source and the quantity used or disposed of in each form. Receipts of milk directly from farms and receipts from other plants are reported separately. All major fluid milk products and manufactured milk products are listed on the report form, and handlers are required to specify the volume and butterfat content of all milk used in each product. From these reports, data are compiled and totaled for each market.

On the basis of these reports, the market administrator makes preliminary computations of each

handler's obligation and calculates the minimum price the handler must pay producers. The market administrator is the Federal official in each market who, with the assistance of a staff, administers the terms of the Federal orders.

ARE FIGURES VERIFIED?

Following the receipt of reports from handlers and the computation of the preliminary statement of handlers' obligations, the market administrator sends auditors to handlers' plants, where they examine books and records of plant operations to determine whether milk actually was used as reported and whether required payments were made to producers. Thus, reported data are subject to audit.

Since Federal milk order statistics are developed from complete records of the quantities of milk priced under Federal orders rather than sample data, they provide reliable market information. In using these data, however, it is important to understand the character of fluid milk markets, the scope of data collected and reported under Federal orders, and certain limitations in the use of the data.

FEDERAL MILK ORDER TERMS

MARKETING AREA

A marketing area is a designated trading area within which the handling of milk is regulated by the Federal order. Generally, the size of the marketing area is determined by the sales territory of competing handlers.

PRODUCER

A producer is usually any dairy farmer who sells milk to a pool handler. Producers must not be producer-handlers; they must produce milk in compliance with grade A or similar inspection requirements, and their milk must be either received at a pool plant or diverted to a nonpool plant for the account of a pool handler.

HANDLER

A handler is a person or business entity, either a milk processor or a milk distributor, who is subject to the provisions of the order. Under most orders, a handler is any milk dealer whose plant is approved by a duly constituted health authority and who disposes of grade A fluid milk products in the marketing area.

Handers include persons who sell milk to other milk dealers as well as persons who sell milk to consumers and retailers.

Federal milk orders provide for three general types of handlers. A description of each type follows:

Operators of pool plants (pool handler)

Operators of pool plants must meet minimum performance standards included in each order and are subject in full to the provisions of an order. There are three types of pool plants-distributing plants, supply plants, and cooperative association plants.

Operators of nonpool plants

Nonpool plants are those from which fluid milk products are disposed of in the marketing area or distributed to pool plants but which do not meet requirements for pooling. There are four types of nonpool plants--other order plants, producer-handler plants, partially regulated distributing plants, and unregulated supply plants.

Cooperative associations

Cooperatives that operate pool plants qualify as handlers. Also, a cooperative may have pool handler status under most Federal milk marketing orders if it either diverts producer milk or delivers its members' bulk tank milk directly to pool plants.

CLASSES OF MILK

Classes of milk utilization are defined in each Federal order. All orders provide for three classes. In general, milk disposed of by a handler as whole milk, lowfat milk, or skim milk is classified as class I. If milk is disposed of as fluid cream or used in soft manufactured products such as cottage cheese and frozen desserts, it is class II; and if it is disposed of in hard manufactured products such as cheese, butter, and milk products in dry form, this milk is class III. Some orders provide for a fourth class of milk utilization--class III-A. Class III-A includes producer milk used to produce nonfat dry milk. (For complete information on Federal milk order provisions, see U.S. Code of Federal Regulations, Title 7, Parts 1000 to 1199.)

WHAT IS INCLUDED IN RECEIPTS, SALES, AND PRICES?

RECEIPTS

Federal milk order statistics include volumes of milk received by handlers regulated under each of the Federal orders. The volume of milk, reported as received by handlers from producers, includes all such milk regardless of where it may be sold. Milk identified as that received from producers for a given market may come directly from nearby producers or from producers associated with a supply plant which, although located several hundred miles from the marketing area, is pooled in the market.

Class I producer milk is the milk delivered by producers for which handlers were required to pay the minimum class I price established by the orders. Total (or gross) class I milk includes any milk from sources other than producers that is assigned to class I.

SALES

In Federal milk order market statistics, an important distinction is made between sales of fluid milk products in a marketing area and dispositions of fluid milk and cream products by handlers regulated in a market. The latter are total dispositions by handlers fully regulated under an order. Dispositions both inside and outside the defined marketing area of that order are included. Besides receipts from producers, these dispositions also may include receipts from other Federal order plants and/or receipts from other sources.

On the other hand, "in-the-marketing-area" fluid milk sales (whole milk items and lowfat and skim milk items) represent sales in each of the marketing areas by handlers regulated under the respective order, by handlers regulated under other Federal orders, by partially regulated handlers, and by producer-handlers. These data are useful in appraising trends in the sales of fluid milk products and in the per capita consumption of fluid milk products in the Federal order marketing area.

Order amendments may change marketing areas. In these instances, "in-the-marketing-area" sales may be estimated for partial-year periods to permit accurate year-to-year comparisons of sales data.

PRICES

All prices reported for Federal milk order markets are the minimum prices required to be paid under order terms. Handlers may pay prices in excess of these minimum amounts. Any such payments in excess of Federal order prices are in no way enforced by Federal milk orders and are not reported in Federal milk order statistics.

Class I prices

In all markets, the class I price is based on the Basic Formula Price. To this price is added a fixed differential stated in the order.

Manufacturing class prices

Prices for producer milk used in classes other than class I for the most part are related to the Basic Formula Price. Class II prices are determined by adding a \$.30 differential to the Basic Formula Price. For most orders, the class III price is the Basic Formula Price. In those orders that provide for class III-A, a product price formula is used to set the price.

Uniform (blend) prices

In Federal order markets, minimum prices required to be paid to producers are termed uniform or "blend" prices. In markets where marketwide pools are used, the blend price is the weighted average of all class values of milk used by all handlers, and all producers must be paid at least this average price per hundredweight, subject to butterfat and location adjustments. For orders that provide for individual handler pools, the blend price reported in statistics for each market is a weighted average of all such individual handler's blend prices. In markets where producer prices were

established in terms of a base price and an excess price, the blend price reported represented the weighted average of base and excess payments. In 13 orders, producer prices are based on the value of the components in the milk that they market. These components include butterfat, as well as solids not fat, or protein, or protein and other solids. In these orders, the price received by producers is dependent on the weighted average differential or producer price differential, the price per pound for butterfat, and either the price per pound for solids not fat, protein, or protein and other solids. Some orders also adjust for the somatic cell count in the milk.

Location adjustments (differentials)

The class I price announced by the market administrator is subject to adjustment, depending on the location of the plant. Nearly all orders provide for a downward adjustment of prices at plants that are distant from the major consuming centers. This reflects the cost of hauling milk to the city. Generally, class I prices are progressively lower with increasing distance from the basing point (usually the major city in the marketing area).

Blend prices and base prices paid to producers are subject to adjustment, depending on the location of the plant where producers ship their milk. The adjustment is the same as the location adjustments applied to the class I price.

Butterfat differentials

Most Federal order prices are quoted on a 3.5-percent butterfat basis. To adjust prices for a higher or lower butterfat content, a butterfat differential is used. The butterfat differential is the amount by which the applicable price is increased or decreased for each one-tenth of 1 percent that the butterfat content of the milk is above or below 3.5 percent. The butterfat differential does not represent the value of butterfat, but reflects the difference between the values of 0.1 pound of butterfat and 0.1 pound of skim milk.

COMPARABILITY OF STATISTICS

To ensure that certain changes in the statistics for Federal milk order

markets can be measured comparably, they are summarized to show data for a group of markets that have been in continuous regulation from January 1 of one year through December 31 of the following year. and for which the data have not been affected significantly by marketing area changes. This group of markets is called "comparable markets". However, for a particular market, the comparability of data (producer receipts, class I utilization, milk disposed of in fluid milk and cream products and in manufactured products) can be affected by changes in order provisions other than marketing area changes. These may include changes in classification, pricing, handler definitions, and the like. Also, noticeable differences can occur because of changes in marketing practices that result in changes in the number of producers or plants associated with the particular Federal order market concerned.

FLUID MILK SALES BY SIZE AND TYPE OF CONTAINER AND BY METHOD OF DISTRIBUTION*

Fluid milk processing plants regulated under Federal milk orders process slightly more than three-quarters of all the fluid milk products sold in the United States. During 1997, this volume totaled 43.9 billion pounds, or 5.1 billion gallons. Given this relationship, the types and sizes of containers and methods of distribution used to market fluid milk products under Federal milk orders should be representative of the entire country.

In order to obtain information on the types and sizes of containers in which fluid milk products are sold, and methods of distribution through which they are sold, a survey was made of fluid milk sales in the 31 Federal milk order marketing areas during November 1997. This article summarizes the major findings. 1/Although the survey was taken in November, the findings are representative of other months of the year. One exception would be sales in half-pint containers which would not be applicable to sales in a nonschool month.

Some highlights of this survey, with comparisons to previous years are:

(1) The proportion of fluid milk products sold in plastic containers increased, continuing the long-term trend in evidence since this survey was first taken in 1963. The 3 percentage point increase pushed the market share of plastic to 79 percent. Sales of fluid milk in paper containers accounted for 21 percent of total sales, while glass containers accounted for less than one-half

of one percent of total sales. (See table A.)

- (2) The proportion of fluid milk products sold in plastic increased significantly for nearly all container sizes. The market share of plastic pint containers increased by 10.5 percentage points to 18.7; the introduction of resealable, milk bottle shaped containers likely contributed largely to this increase. The market shares for plastic half-gallons increased by 6.1 percentage points and plastic quarts increased 5.5 percentage points to 57.4 and 8.5, respectively. (See table E.)
- (3) In all regions of the country, more fluid milk products were sold in plastic containers than in paper and glass combined. Market shares of plastic ranged from over 67 percent in the North Atlantic region to almost 84 percent in the Southeastern region. Glass containers accounted for less than 1 percent of total sales in all regions. (See table C.)
- (4) Plastic containers accounted for nearly 80 percent or more of the sales of whole milk, 2% and 1% milk, and skim milk. Conversely, about 74 percent or more of the sales of flavored whole and fat-reduced milk were sold in paper containers. (See table D.)
- (5) The market share of fluid milk products sold in gallons increased to 65.9 percent. Conversely, the market share of half-gallon containers dropped to 18.0 percent. About 9.2 percent of total sales were made in half-pint containers-down

from the previous survey. Market shares of other container sizes generally decreased from 1995. (See tables B and C.)

- (6) In all regions of the country, more fluid milk products were sold in gallon containers than in all other sizes combined. The market share of gallons ranged from 54.5 percent in the North Atlantic region to 72.1 percent in the East North Central region. The proportion of fluid milk products sold in half-pint containers was significantly larger in the Southeastern, Southwest, and West North Central regions. In the North Atlantic region, quarts accounted for twice the national average. (See table C.)
- (7) Gallon containers accounted for much larger proportions of the sales of whole milk, 2% reduced-fat milk, 1% lowfat milk, and skim milk. On the other hand, about 57 percent of the sales of flavored whole milk and more than 77 percent of the sales of flavored fat-reduced milk were made in pint and half-pint containers. These market shares might be expected as the sales of these flavored milk products are made predominantly through schools and other food service outlets. More buttermilk was sold in half-gallon containers than in other sizes. (See table D.)
- (8) Sales of fluid milk products in gallon sizes were nearly all in plastic containers while sales in quart or smaller sizes were made predominantly in paper containers. This leads to the conclusion that the importance of a particular size of container in any particular region, or for any particular product, determines the importance of a container type. (See table E.)

For example, in the North Atlantic region, the proportion of fluid milk products sold in paper containers was significantly higher -32.5 percent compared to the national average of 21.2 percent. (See table C.) This occurred because the proportion of fluid milk products sold in this region in half-gallon and quart containers--sizes for which paper is a prominent type--was significantly higher. (See tables C and E.) Similarly, both flavored whole milk and flavored fat-reduced milk had more than 73 percent of their sales in paper containers because over 77 percent of these products were sold in container sizes for which paper is dominant--quart and smaller sizes. (See tables D and E.)

- (9) The proportion of fluid milk products sold through wholesale outlets increased slightly. The market share of wholesale in all markets in 1997 was 99.5 percent of total sales; the remaining 0.5 percent was home-delivered. (See table F.)
- (10) The most important wholesale outlet continues to be food chain stores, which accounted for more than two-thirds of total fluid milk sales. Regionally, the North Atlantic region showed a significant increase, and the East North Central and Mountain regions showed notable decreases, in the importance of the food chain store category. Food chain stores showed greater variation in the proportions of sales across regions than in earlier surveys, with the largest proportion in the West North Central region and the smallest in the Mountain region.

The market share of supermarket chains increased to 57.6 percent while the market share of dairy/convenience stores at 10.2

percent was unchanged from 1995. There was significant regional variation in the importance of both supermarket and dairy/convenience chains. (See table G.)

- (11) The market share of vertically integrated food chain stores totaled 19.7 percent, up from 18.6 percent in 1995. Sales by vertically integrated supermarket chains accounted for 17.6 percent of total sales, while dairy/convenience store chains accounted for 2.1 percent. (See table H.)
- (12) Vertical integration of food chains showed significant regional variation. In the Southwest region, nearly 33 percent of total fluid milk sales were made by vertically integrated food chains. There was no vertical integration in the West North Central. Vertically integrated supermarket chains accounted for 29.4 to 32.8 percent of total sales in 4 regions, more than 3 times the market share of these firms in the other two regions. The market share of vertically integrated dairy/convenience chains in the North Atlantic region was 5.3 percent, well above the national average of 2.1 percent. (See table H.)
- 13) Fluid milk sales through institutional outlets (military and schools) totaled 7.2 percent of total sales, down slightly from 1995. Sales through all other wholesale outlets (nonchain food stores, nonfood stores, restaurants, hospitals, vending machines, etc., combined) accounted for 24.4 percent of total sales, down from 1995. (See table G.)
- * Prepared by John M. Wetterau and Mary F. Taylor, dairy products marketing specialists, Market Information Branch, Dairy Programs, Agricultural Marketing Service. FMOS-430 January and February 1999.
- 1/ Dairy Programs plans to make available, on a limited basis, individual market data. The following report should be requested from John Wetterau: "Packaged Fluid Milk. Sales in Federal Milk Order Markets, By Size and Type of Container, and Distribution Method, During November 1997."

TABLE A--PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL FLUID MILK PRODUCTS SOLD BY TYPE OF CONTAINER, BY HANDLERS REGULATED UNDER FEDERAL MILK ORDERS, NOVEMBER OF SELECTED YEARS 1/

Year	Number of markets 2/	Glass	Paper	Plastic	Other <u>3</u> /	Total
			Total	Fluid Milk Prod	uets 4/	
			<u>10tar</u>	I laia Willik I loa	<u>ucts</u> 4/	
1963	68	32	63	<u>5</u> /	5	100
1964	67	31	64	2	3	100
1965	68	29	65	4	2	100
1966	68	25	67	6	2	100
1967	71	20	71	8	1	100
1969	66	12	76	11	*	100
1971	61	7	78	15	*	100
1973	61	4	71	25	*	100
1975	56	2	67	31	*	100
1977	47	1	58	41	*	100
1979	47	1	49	50	*	100
1981	48	1	42	57	*	100
1983	46	*	38	62	*	100
1985	44	*	34	65	*	100
1987	43	*	33	67	*	100
1989	41	*	31	69	*	100
1991	42	*	28	72	*	100
1993	38	*	25	74	*	100
1995	32	*	24	76	*	100
1997	31	*	21	79	*	100

^{*} Less than one-half of one percent.

^{1/} Based on total sales including both wholesale and home-delivered.

^{2/} Number of markets for which complete data were available. See page 71 for the Federal milk order markets included in current survey.

^{3/} Percentages represent metal cans and plastic bag-in-box containers in 1963; metal cans only, 1967 to date.

^{4/} Includes plain and flavored whole milk products, plain, fortified, and flavored fat-reduced milk products, buttermilk and miscellaneous whole and fat-reduced milk products.

^{5/} Data not available.

TABLE B--PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL FLUID MILK PRODUCTS SOLD BY SIZE OF CONTAINER, BY HANDLERS REGULATED UNDER FEDERAL MILK ORDERS, NOVEMBER OF SELECTED YEARS 1/

Year	Number of markets 2/	Gallon	Half- Gallon	Quart	Pint	Half-pint	Over 10 quarts	Other	Total
				<u>Tota</u>	al Fluid M	filk Products 3	3/		
1963	68	13	56	15	1	9	5**	1	100
1964	67	16	54	13	1	10	4	2	100
1965	68	17	54	12	1	10	4	2	100
1966	68	18	53	11	1	10	5	2	100
1967	71	19	53	9	1	11	5	2	100
1969	66	23	48	12	1	10	4	2	100
1971	61	29	44	10	1	11	3	2	100
1973	61	37	38	8	1	10	4	2	100
1975	56	43	34	7	1	11	3	1	100
1977	47	49	29	6	1	11	3	1	100
1979	47	53	26	5	1	11	3	1	100
1981	48	57	24	5	1	10	3	*	100
1983	46	58	23	5	1	10	3	*	100
1985	44	60	22	5	2	9	2	*	100
1987	43	60	21	5	2	10	2	*	100
1989	41	61	21	4	2	10	2	*	100
1991	42	64	19	4	1	9	2	*	100
1993	38	64	19	4	2	9	2	*	100
1995	32	64	18	4	2	10	2	*	100
1997	31	66	18	4	2	9	1	*	100

^{*} Less than one-half of one percent.

^{**} Percentage includes "5-10 quart" containers.

^{1/} Based on total sales including both wholesale and home-delivered.

^{2/} Number of markets for which complete data were available. See page 71 for the Federal milk order markets included in current survey.

^{3/} Includes plain and flavored whole milk products, plain, fortified, and flavored fat-reduced milk products, buttermilk and miscellaneous whole and fat-reduced milk products.

TABLE C--PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL FLUID MILK PRODUCTS 1/ DISTRIBUTED BY HANDLERS REGULATED UNDER FEDERAL MILK ORDERS, GROUPED BY REGION, BY TYPE AND SIZE OF CONTAINER, NOVEMBER 1997

	Total sales		Type of Container	
Region <u>2</u> /	of fluid milk products <u>1</u> /	Glass <u>3</u> /	Paper	Plastic
	Mil. lbs.		Percent of total sales	
North Atlantic	784.7	0.4	32.5	67.1
Southeastern	764.7	0.0	16.1	83.9
East North Central	939.8	0.3	16.1	83.5
West North Central	257.8	R	23.5	76.5
Southwest	380.2	R	17.4	82.6
Mountain	279.4	R	21.7	78.3
Pacific	171.9	0.0	26.0	74.0
Total <u>4</u> /	3,651.0	0.2	21.2	78.6

			Siz	e of Containe	r		
Region <u>2</u> /	Gallon	Half-gallon	Quart	Pint	Half-pint	5 and 6 gallon	All other sizes
			<u>Perc</u>	ent of total sa	les		
North Atlantic	54.5	26.3	7.4	1.8	7.7	1.6	0.6
Southeastern	65.7	17.9	2.2	1.8	11.8	0.5	0.1
East North Central	72.1	13.3	2.8	1.3	8.6	1.2	0.6
West North Central	64.1	19.9	2.1	0.8	9.7	2.6	0.9
Southwest	69.6	15.2	1.9	1.7	10.6	0.9	0.1
Mountain	71.1	13.4	4.0	1.5	7.9	1.9	0.2
Pacific	71.5	16.6	3.4	1.3	5.3	1.7	0.2
Total <u>4</u> /	65.9	18.0	3.7	1.5	9.2	1.3	0.4

^{*}Less than one-tenth of one percent.

 $[\]underline{1}$ / Includes plain and flavored whole milk products, plain, fortified, and flavored fat-reduced milk products, buttermilk, and miscellaneous whole and fat-reduced milk products.

^{2/} See page 71 for the Federal milk order markets included in each region.

^{3/} Also includes metal cans.

 $[\]underline{4}$ / Includes those Federal milk order markets for which all of the data were restricted--pertains to fewer than three processing plants. See page 71.

TABLE D.-PERCENTAGE OF INDIVIDUAL FLUID MILK PRODUCTS DISTRIBUTED BY HANDLERS REGULATED UNDER FEDERAL MILK ORDERS, ALL MARKETS COMBINED $\underline{1}$, BY TYPE AND SIZE OF CONTAINER,

NOVEMBER 1997

I	Fluid milk product Total sales in all		Type of container	
ilk whole milk k fat-reduced milk		Glass	Paper	Plastic
whole milk k fat-reduced milk	Mil. lbs.		Percent of total sales	
whole milk k fat-reduced milk	1,167.1	0.1	17.0	82.9
k fat-reduced milk		8.0	73.9	25.3
	1,181.9	0.1	14.2	85.7
	398.0	0.3	20.2	9.62
	617.1	0.4	17.4	82.3
	_	*	81.4	18.5
Buttermilk 50.0	20.0	R	62.6	37.4
Total fluid milk products 3,651.0		0.2	21.2	78.6

			Si	Size of container	er		
Fluid milk product	Gallon	Half-gallon	Quart	Pint	Half-pint	5 & 6 gallons	Other
			Perc	Percent of total sales	<u>iales</u>		
Whole milk	70.3	17.5	3.8	1.6	5.3	1.1	0.3
Flavored whole milk	1.2	18.8	20.2	45.8	11.3	6.0	1.7
2% milk	74.2	14.8	2.0	0.3	6.4	1.9	4.0
1% milk	68.2	20.1	2.6	0.2	8.1	0.5	4.0
Skim milk	68.3	22.7	3.7	0.1	3.8	1.1	0.4
Flavored fat-reduced milk	8.9	8.3	5.1	4.5	72.6	1.7	6.0
Buttermilk	7.9	61.4	25.4	1.4	2.6	6.0	0.3
Total fluid milk products	62.9	18.0	3.7	1.5	9.2	1.3	0.4

^{*} Less than one-tenth of one percent.

R = Restricted.

^{1/} See page 71 for the Federal milk order markets included.

TABLE E--PERCENTAGE OF TOTAL FLUID MILK PRODUCTS 1/ DISTRIBUTED BY HANDLERS REGULATED UNDER FEDERAL MILK ORDERS, ALL MARKETS COMBINED 2/, BY SIZE OF CONTAINER, BY TYPE OF CONTAINER, NOVEMBER 1997

Size of	Total sales of		Type of container	
container <u>3</u> /	fluid milk products	Glass	Paper	Plastic
	Mil. lbs.	<u>P</u>	ercent of total sale	<u>es</u>
Gallon	2,407.5	R	*	99.9
Half-gallon	655.6	0.9	41.7	57.4
Quart	133.6	0.6	91.0	8.5
Pint	55.4	0.2	81.1	18.7
Half-pint	335.4	R	96.5	3.5
6-gallon	7.4	0	0	100.0
5-gallon	41.2	R	0	100.0
All other	14.9	0	70.4	29.6
Total of all sizes	3,651.0	0.2	21.2	78.6

^{*} Less than one-tenth of one percent.

R = Restricted, pertains to fewer than three processing plants. Data are included in "All other".

 $[\]underline{1}$ / Includes plain and flavored whole milk products, plain, fortified, and flavored fat-reduced milk products, buttermilk, and miscellaneous whole and fat-reduced milk products.

 $[\]underline{2}$ / See page 71 for the Federal milk order markets included.

<u>3</u>/ Six-gallon and five-gallon containers under glass represent metal cans, under plastic represent mostly bag-in-box containers.

TABLE F--PERCENTAGE OF FLUID MILK PRODUCTS 1/ DISTRIBUTED BY HANDLERS REGULATED UNDER FEDERAL MILK ORDERS, BY METHODS OF DISTRIBUTION, AND TYPE OF WHOLESALE OUTLET, NOVEMBER OF SELECTED YEARS, 1963 TO 1997

			All other <u>5</u> /			1	1	-	1	1	1		32.8	30.2	29.9	30.1	28.5	28.5	27.1	25.8	23.4	25.1	24.4
		onal	Schools			}	1	1	!	1	1	!	7.7 6/	7.6	8.9	6.7	8.9	7.1	6.7	6.7	9.9	6.5	6.3
	Type of wholesale outlet $\frac{3}{2}$	Institutional	Military			1	1	1	1	1	1	1	$1.7 \frac{6}{2}$	1.5	1.4	1.4	1.2	1.4	1.0	1.0	1.1	1.0	6.0
Method of Distribution	Type of wh	stores 4/	Dairy and convenience	Percent of total sales		-	1	1	!	!	1	;	10.0	10.7	8.6	8.6	9.4	10.6	10.4	10.4	10.7	10.2	10.2
Method of		Food chain stores 4/	Supermarket	Percent o		1	1	1	;	1	1	1	42.9	46.2	49.7	50.2	52.6	51.2	53.8	55.2	57.3	56.5	57.6
		Total wholesale				70.3	72.0	6.9	81.0	85.2	89.7	93.1	94.7	96.2	7.76	98.2	98.5	8.86	0.66	0.66	0.66	99.3	99.5
		Home-delivery				29.7	28.0	23.1	19.0	14.8	10.3	6.9	5.3	3.8	2.3	1.8	1.5	1.2	1.0	1.0	1.0	0.7	0.5
Number	Jo	markets	75			89	89	71	99	61	61	56	47	47	48	46	44	43	41	42	38	32	31
		Month/Year	Į		November	1963	1965	1967	1969	1971	1973	1975	1977	1979	1981	1983	1985	1987	1989	1991	1993	1995	1997

1/ Includes plain and flavored whole milk products, plain, fortified, and flavored fat-reduced milk products, buttermilk, and miscellaneous whole and fat-reduced milk products.

2/ Number of markets for which complete data were available. See page 71 for the Federal milk order markets included in current survey.

3/ This breakdown was not available prior to 1977. Percentages may not add to total wholesale due to rounding.

4/ Generally, 11 or more stores (supermarkets, dairy and convenience) nationwide, primarily engaged in food retailing and either under common management, being franchised by a common company, or belonging to a common purchasing association.

5/ Nonchain food stores, nonfood stores (drug stores, gasoline stations, etc.), restaurants, hotels, hospitals, nursing homes, vending machines, and any other type of wholesale outlet.

6/ Does not include data for the New York-New Jersey marketing area for which these data were not available.

TABLE G--PERCENTAGE OF FLUID MILK PRODUCTS 1/ DISTRIBUTED BY HANDLERS REGULATED UNDER FEDERAL MILK ORDERS, GROUPED BY REGION, BY METHOD OF DISTRIBUTION, AND TYPE OF WHOLESALE OUTLET, NOVEMBER 1997

					Z	Method of distribution	ıtion			
	Total sales					Type o	Type of wholesale outlet $3/$:tlet <u>3</u> /		
Region 2/	of fluid milk	Home-	Total		Food chain stores 4/	; 4/		Institutional		
	products 1/	delivery	wholesale	Total	Supermarkets	Dairy and convenience	Total	Military	Schools	All other <u>5</u> /
	Mil. Ibs					Percent of total sales	<u>ales</u>			
North Atlantic	784.7	0.4	9.66	72.0	57.4	14.5	5.4	0.5	4.9	22.1
Southeastern	764.7	0.5	99.5	69.2	60.4	8.8	8.6	1.5	8.2	20.6
East North Central	939.8	0.4	9.66	62.1	51.2	10.9	6.7	0.3	6.4	30.8
West North Central	257.8	1.0	0.66	72.7	63.3	9.4	7.1	0.4	6.7	19.3
Southwest	380.2	×	100.0	8.69	8.09	0.6	8.3	1.1	7.2	21.9
Mountain	279.4	2.1	97.9	64.9	59.6	5.2	6.3	1.7	4.6	26.7
Pacific	171.9	0.4	9.66	0.89	63.0	5.0	5.5	1.5	4.1	26.1
All region total 6/	3,651.0	0.5	99.5	8.79	57.6	10.2	7.2	0.0	6.3	24.4

1/ Includes plain and flavored whole milk products, plain, fortified, and flavored fat-reduced milk products, buttermilk, and miscellaneous whole and fat-reduced milk products. R = Restricted, represents data for fewer than three handlers. "Home-delivery" data are included in "all-other wholesale." "All region totals" include restricted data. 2/ See page 71 for Federal milk order marketing areas included in each region.

 $\underline{3}$ / Percentages may not add to total wholesale due to rounding.

4/ Generally, 11 or more stores (supermarkets, dairy and convenience) nationwide, primarily engaged in food retailing and either under common management, being franchised by a common company, or belonging to a common purchasing association.

5/ Nonchain food stores, nonfood stores (drug stores, gasoline stations, etc.), restaurants, hotels, hospitals, nursing homes, vending machines, and any other type of wholesale

6/ Includes those Federal milk order markets for which all the data were restricted. See page 71.

TABLE H--PERCENTAGE OF FLUID MILK PRODUCTS 1/ DISTRIBUTED BY HANDLERS REGULATED UNDER FEDERAL MILK ORDERS, GROUPED BY REGION, BY TYPE OF CHAIN STORE, NOVEMBER 1997

			Type of foo	d chain store <u>2</u> /		
Region <u>3</u> /		Superr	narket	Dairy/conv	enience	Total
Region <u>5</u> /	Total food chain stores	Vertically integrated 4/	Other	Vertically integrated <u>4</u> /	Other	vertically integrated <u>4</u> /
				61		
			Percent	of total sales		
North Atlantic	72.0	9.5	47.9	5.3	9.2	14.8
Southeastern	69.2	29.4	31.0	1.2	7.5	30.6
East North Central	62.1	8.8	42.3	1.5	9.4	10.4
West North Central	72.7	0	63.3	0	9.4	0
Southwest	69.8	32.8	27.9	R	9.0	32.8
Mountain	64.9	29.4	30.2	R	5.2	29.4
Pacific	68.0	31.4	31.5	0	5.0	31.4
All Region Total	67.8	17.6	40.0	2.1	8.1	19.7

R = Restricted. Represents data for fewer than three handlers. Data are included in "other." "All Region Totals" include restricted data.

 $[\]underline{1}$ / Includes plain and flavored whole milk products, plain, fortified, and flavored fat-reduced milk products, buttermilk, and miscellaneous whole and fat-reduced milk products.

^{2/}Generally, 11 or more stores nationwide, primarily engaged in food retailing and either under common management, being franchised by a common company, or belonging to a common purchasing association.

^{3/} The Federal milk order marketing areas included in each region are: NORTH ATLANTIC (New England, New York-New Jersey, Middle Atlantic), SOUTHEASTERN (Carolina, Southeast, Tampa Bay, Southeastern Florida), EAST NORTH CENTRAL (Michigan Upper Peninsula, Southern Michigan, E. Ohio-W.Pa., Ohio Valley, Indiana, Chicago Regional, Southern Illinois-Eastern Missouri, Louisville-Lexington-Evansville), WEST NORTH CENTRAL (Upper Midwest, Iowa, Nebraska-Western Iowa), SOUTHWEST (Southwest Plains, Texas), MOUNTAIN (Eastern Colorado, SW. Idaho-E. Oregon, Great Basin, Central Arizona, New Mexico-West Texas), and PACIFIC (Pacific Northwest). The data for the following marketing areas are restricted (represents fewer than three handlers): Central Illinois, Eastern South Dakota, Greater Kansas City, Upper Florida, and Western Colorado. The data for these marketing areas are included in the "All Region Total".

^{4/} Chain stores which have integrated backward into fluid milk processing, or fluid milk processing organizations which have integrated forward into chain store ownership.

Marketing Areas Under Federal Milk Orders, October 1, 1997 N-YN SE FL CAROLINA - BAY UP FL E OH-W PA OH SMICH 1-1-E INDIANA SOUTHEAST CHIC REG CIL S IL-E MO IOWA UPPER MIDWEST **GRT KCITY** SW PLAINS NE-WIA ESD TEXAS E COL XT W-MN W COL **GRT BASIN** CENT AZ SW ID-E OR PAC NW

Summary of Federal Milk Order Actions, January 1999
There were no final actions effective during this period.

Summary of Federal Milk Order Actions, February 1999

Suspension:

<u>Nebraska-Western Iowa</u> - February 1 (64 FR 4957, 2/2/99). This action suspends 11 counties from the marketing area definition of this order beginning on February 1, 1999, and extending for an indefinite period until the implementation of a final rule consolidating Federal milk orders or an action to subsequently terminate the suspension.

United States Department of Agricuiture

Marketing and Regulatory Programs

Agricultural Marketing Service
Dairy Programs
Market Information Branch Rm 2764
P.O. Box 96456
Washington, DC 20090-6456



Official Business
Penalty for Private Use \$300